Public Works Committee
Monday July 1, 2019 – 6:00 pm
Health & Human Services Center – Room 1001
303 W. Chapel Street
Dodgeville, Wisconsin

Iowa County Wisconsin

For information regarding access for the disabled please call 935-0399.

Any subject on this agenda may become an action item.

1	Call to order.
2	Roll Call.
3	Approve the agenda for this July 1, 2019 meeting.
4	Approve the minutes of the June 5, 2019 meeting.
5	Report from committee members and an opportunity for members of the audience to address the committee. No action will be taken.
6	Consider Resolution from the Land Conservation Department establishing fees for rental of the Iowa County Land Conservation Rainfall Simulator.
7	Preview and consider the Wisconsin County Highway Association Workzone Safety stories video by US Work Stories.
8	Consider Resolution to Raise Funding for County Highway Roadway Improvements and Discuss Long Term Funding Alternatives.
9	Consider Resolution requesting and supporting state highway maintenance aid and funding for a portion of the Highland Salt Shed Building Replacement.
10	Consider rescinding Resolutions Numbered 5-0405 and 8-0610 regarding Segregating Paint Program Equipment Revenues for Paint Program Equipment Replacement within the Highway Fund.
11	Highway Commissioner's Report: A. May-June 2019 Auction Results. B. 2018 Financial Report to be distributed at the meeting. C. June State Surface Transportation Improvement Program Project reports. D. 2019 Assembly Bill (AB310). E. Highland Salt Shed Facility update.
12	Set date and time for next meeting.
13	Adjournment.

Posting verified by the County Clerk's Office: Date: Initials:

Blank

	Public Works Committee UNAPPROVED MINUTES **Wednesday June 5, 2019 – 6:00 pm** **Health & Human Services Center – Room 1001** **303 W. Chapel Street** **Dodgeville, Wisconsin**	**Iowa County Wisconsin**

For information regarding access for the disabled please call 935-0399.

Any subject on this agenda may become an action item.

1	The meeting was called to order at 6:00 PM by Chair Gollon
2	Roll Call. All members present. Others Present: Commissioner Hardy, Accountant Fitzsimons, Conservation Director Abbott, Finance Director Hamilton, Sups. Deal and Benish, Administrator Bierke
3	Sup. Masters moved to approve the agenda for this meeting. Sup. Leix seconded the motion. Approved unanimously
4	Sup. Storti moved to approve the minutes for the May 6, 2019 meeting. Sup. Butteris seconded. Approved unanimously.
5	There was a general discussion regarding the Lone Rock Bridge project scheduled for next year. The Committee requested Commissioner Hardy provide public notification with an ad in the newspaper of the new Encroachment Policy. Hardy gave an update to the 18/151 WisDOT project.
6	Abbott updated the Committee of the April well sampling. 539 samples were tested which resulted in a lower contamination rate than the prior year. Dam Updates: Birch Lake draw down is expected to begin soon, and there have been issues with Beavers on 3 of Iowa County's dams. Land Conservation Department is in the process of drafting a new manure storage ordinance. The Conservation Research Program is open again. There was discussion amongst the Committee regarding the Rain Fall Simulator.
7	Review the 1st Quarter 2019 Departmental Finance Reports. No comments from the Committee.
8	Hardy passed out a copy of the revised Tri-county Airport Agreement draft regarding maintenance and operations for the Tri-county Airport in Lone Rock between Iowa, Richland, and Sauk counties. Sup. Deal gave a summary of the agreement and the discussion which have occurred regarding its' development. Sup. Masters motioned to approve the Tri-County Airport Agreement draft. Seconded by Sup. Storti. Motion carried unanimously.
9	Hardy gave an overview of the Highway Department Operation Policies to be considered: A. #1117 Utility Accommodation Policy Supplemental Specifications. B. #1118 Agricultural Lime Sales and Reporting. C. #1119 Adopt-a-Highway Litter Pickup Program. Sup Storti made a motion to approve all 3 policies with corrections to spelling and grammar. Seconded by Sup. Butteris. Motion carried unanimously.
10	Hardy explained where the Highway Department is at with the winter maintenance budget after the harsh January-April winter weather. The department is at 75% of anticipated expenses of $875,000+/- through May of 2019. The average annual winter maintenance expense for October –December runs around $450,000 which will leave the department with an anticipated shortfall of $150,000 for winter maintenance activities and expenses for 2019. Hardy also updated the Committee of the numerous culvert replacements needed due to the heavy rains during the Fall 2018 and Spring 2019 the county has been experiencing. Typically; the department deals with 5 to 8 of these such replacements on an annual

<table>
<tr><td></td><td>basis worth approximately $40,000, but has currently identified up to 48 locations in need of repair amounting to estimated $275,000 in costs. There was a general discussion amongst the Committee regarding highway projects and how the budget transfers effect other departments. Finance Director Hamilton explained the difference between the Highway Fund & the General Fund, how transfers occur along with their effects on the County finances, and the proposed resolution for such situations.

There was a motion to transfer $425,000 from the Highway Fund to the Highway Department budget by Sup. Masters. Seconded by Butteris. Motion carried unanimously.</td></tr>
<tr><td>11</td><td>There was a general discussion of the Discuss on a DRAFT Resolution Directing for 2020 Budget Specific Levy Increases for Highway Construction Improvement projects and the construction improvement projects backlog. The Committee would like to consider other remedies and discuss again at the next meeting.</td></tr>
<tr><td>12</td><td>Highway Commissioners Report:

A. Hardy updated the Committee on the Highland Salt Shed. The project is estimated to cost $560,000 with the state providing $180,000. Hardy stated he was informed the state is not able to provide the state % of cost for the facility. Hardy also stated he continues to discuss with the state's county maintenance engineer for the county to resolve the funding issue. Discussion of the project, facility, costs, and other projects similar in nature. Motion by Leix and second by Storti to have Commissioner Hardy send a letter to the Bureau of Maintenance Director requesting state cost chare for the facility and to cc (copy) the state senator and representative(s) for the county. Further discussion about a potential county resolution regarding the project and funding, as well as other potential options. Hardy commented at this point he has held enough other capital funding back to cover the shed as it needs to be done in 2019; and, the Department was re-evaluating capital priorities should the funds not come from WisDOT for the project. Motion carried unanimously for Hardy to send correspondence when deemed necessary based on the conversations.

B. Hardy recapped the Wisconsin County Highway Association summer road school. Sup. Storti shared he thought the conference was very informative and educational.

C. Hardy informed the committee of the auction items from the spring 2019 auction results. Hardy stated the 2012 International truck was sold at auction at roughly half of its' book value, when the buyer came to pick it up it wouldn't start. The County sent the truck for repairs, which were performed and the buyer took possession of the vehicle thereafter. The County had spent close to $24,000 in the last two years maintain the truck and took in a bid of $8800 for it. On top of that the repairs to get it running again were estimated at $3600 for a new ECM. In either case, the truck was finally off the books.

D. Hardy summarized budget proposals for highway improvements from the senate version of the budget bill.

E. Hardy summarized activity from the other state highway improvement projects going on in the county including STH 191, USH 18/151, and USH 151/ bypass around Dodgeville.</td></tr>
<tr><td>13</td><td>The next meeting date is July 1, 2019 at 6:00 pm at the Health & Human Services Building.</td></tr>
<tr><td>14</td><td>Sup. Leix moved to adjourn the meeting. Sup. Storti seconded. Motion carried unanimously. Meeting adjourned at 7:37 pm</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">Minutes by: T Fitzsimons</td></tr>
</table>

AGENDA ITEM COVER SHEET

Title: Resolution for Charging Fees for Use of Rainfall Simulator - Land Conservat

(•) Original ( ) Update

TO BE COMPLETED BY COUNTY DEPARTMENT HEAD

DESCRIPTION OF AGENDA ITEM (Please provide detailed information, including deadline):

The Land Conservation Department owns a rainfall simulator for demonstrating the effects of soil loss based on varying soil types and other factors. Their has been requests from other organizations, governmental entities, and associations to borrow or utilize the simulator for providing training related to their programs and services as well. The Department has determined an estimated cost for that rental.

RECOMMENDATIONS (IF ANY):

Recommend to approve the resolution and move to the County Board for consideration

ANY ATTACHMENTS? (Only 1 copy is needed) (•) Yes ( ) No If yes, please list below:

Copy of proposed resolution.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Fees paid by those renting or using simulator.

LEGAL REVIEW PERFORMED: ( ) Yes (•) No **PUBLICATION REQUIRED:** ( ) Yes (•) No

PRESENTATION?: ( ) Yes ( ) No How much time is needed? By Dept Head

COMPLETED BY: CRH **DEPT:** Highway

2/3 VOTE REQUIRED: ( ) Yes (•) No

TO BE COMPLETED BY COMMITTEE CHAIR

MEETING DATE: 7-01-2019 **AGENDA ITEM #** 6

COMMITTEE ACTION:

RESOLUTION ESTBALISHING FEES FOR RENTAL OF THE IOWA COUNTY LAND CONSERVATION DEPARTMENT RAINFALL SIMULATOR

WHEREAS, the Iowa County Land Conservation Department (LCD) purchased a Rainfall Simulator in 2018, which is a highly effective, visual demonstration of how different farm management practices influence soil erosion and water infiltration during intense rainfall; and

WHEREAS, the Rainfall Simulator includes a trailer, water tank with pump, the rainfall simulation table and spray nozzle, and multiple components such as trays, bottles, and hoses; and

WHEREAS, area conservation agencies, farmer groups, and non-profit organizations have expressed interest in borrowing the Iowa County Rainfall Simulator for educational programs; and

WHEREAS, the County recognizes the shared missions of area conservation agencies, farmer groups, and non-profit organizations and the mutual benefits of soil and water conservation education; and

WHEREAS, the County also recognizes the need to recoup any costs to Iowa County for Land Conservation Department staff time and mileage or damage to the Rainfall Simulator;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Iowa County Board of Supervisors that Iowa County establishes the following fees for rental of the Iowa County Land Conservation Department Rainfall Simulator:

1. The fee for drop off and pick up of the Rainfall Simulator trailer by Iowa County staff is $40 per hour of staff time and $0.58 per mile.

2. The fee for Iowa County staff to give the educational demonstration is $40 per hour of staff time.

3. The cost of cleaning or repairs to any components of the trailer or Rainfall Simulator is the responsibility of the borrower. If borrower returns any components dirty or damaged, Iowa County will assess a fee of $40 per hour of staff time for cleaning or repairs, plus actual costs of any supplies, parts, or repair bills.

4. If borrower transports the Rainfall Simulator, borrower is responsible to repair or replace any part of the trailer or Rainfall Simulator components that are damaged en route.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the above fees shall take effect on __________, 2019, and from that date forward shall be posted at the Iowa County Land Conservation Department.

Adopted by the Iowa County Board of Supervisors this ____ day of _________, 2019.

AGENDA ITEM COVER SHEET

Title: US Work Stories Workzone Safety Video

(●) Original ( ) Update

TO BE COMPLETED BY COUNTY DEPARTMENT HEAD

DESCRIPTION OF AGENDA ITEM (Please provide detailed information, including deadline):

Preview a video created by the Wisconsin County Highway Association by interviewing Highway Department employees in the act of their duties to raise awareness for workers in workzones.

RECOMMENDATIONS (IF ANY):

To preview the video and recommend for preview by the County Board.

ANY ATTACHMENTS? (Only 1 copy is needed) ( ) Yes (●) No If yes, please list below:

FISCAL IMPACT:

None

LEGAL REVIEW PERFORMED: ( ) Yes (●) No **PUBLICATION REQUIRED:** ( ) Yes (●) No

PRESENTATION?: (●) Yes ( ) No How much time is needed? 5-10 Minutes

COMPLETED BY: CRH **DEPT:** Highway

2/3 VOTE REQUIRED: ( ) Yes (●) No

TO BE COMPLETED BY COMMITTEE CHAIR

MEETING DATE: 07-01-2019 **AGENDA ITEM #** 7

COMMITTEE ACTION:

Blank

AGENDA ITEM COVER SHEET

Title: Resolution for Directing Funding for County Highway Improvements for th

(•) Original ( ) Update

TO BE COMPLETED BY COUNTY DEPARTMENT HEAD

DESCRIPTION OF AGENDA ITEM (Please provide detailed information, including deadline):

Committee has been discussing options for raising revenues for the Department dedicated for Highway Improvement projects due to the growing backlog of work to be done.

RECOMMENDATIONS (IF ANY):

Recommend to review and consider options for raising funding/revenue for County Highway Improvements.

ANY ATTACHMENTS? (Only 1 copy is needed) (•) Yes ( ) No If yes, please list below:

Draft of a form of the Resolution

FISCAL IMPACT:

Varies by adoption of the resolution as either increases to operating levy or debt levy or toher means as specified within the resolution.

LEGAL REVIEW PERFORMED: ( ) Yes (•) No **PUBLICATION REQUIRED:** ( ) Yes (•) No

PRESENTATION?: (•) Yes ( ) No How much time is needed? 10 minutes

COMPLETED BY: CRH **DEPT:** Highway

2/3 VOTE REQUIRED: ( ) Yes (•) No

TO BE COMPLETED BY COMMITTEE CHAIR

MEETING DATE: 07-01-2019 **AGENDA ITEM #** 8

COMMITTEE ACTION:

Resolution No. ______________

RESOLUTION DIRECTING SPECIFIC FUNDING FOR HIGHWAY RECONSTRUCTION AS PART OF 2020 BUDGET.

WHEREAS, the Iowa County Board is challenged with a back log of needed highway improvements throughout Iowa County; and

WHEREAS, a new County Highway is expected to last 25 to 30 years before major repairs or reconstruction is needed, however in Iowa County the road reconstruction schedule is nearing a 120 year replacement; and

WHEREAS, the Iowa County Board would like to address this backlog of needed road reconstruction projects and appropriate additional tax dollars to the County Highway budget; and

WHEREAS, the Iowa County Board, having heard from voting constituents, understands that there is support to increase the Iowa County levy an additional ten dollars for every one hundred thousand dollars of equalized value; and

WHEREAS, the Wisconsin Public Service Commission has approved a solar installation in Iowa County and is considering a high voltage power line; both of which bring additional revenue to Iowa County.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Iowa County Board of Supervisors that Iowa County staff be directed to include a $10 increase per $100,000 of equalized value in the 2020 debt levy specifically for road reconstruction.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Iowa County Board of Supervisors instructs that 80% of all new utility revenue be directed to road reconstruction until the year 2025.

Adopted this _____ day of July, 2019.

State of Wisconsin • DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE
DIVISION OF STATE AND LOCAL FINANCE • BUREAU OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT SERVICES • 2135 RIMROCK RD MADISON, WI 53713

Mailing Address:
PO Box 8971 #6-97
Madison WI 53708-8971
Fax: (608) 264-6887
lgs@wisconsin.gov

September 18, 2018

GREG KLUSENDORF
COUNTY OF IOWA
222 N IOWA ST
DODGEVILLE WI 53533-1557

Notice of Shared Revenue – 2019 Original Estimate

Notice Information

The Wisconsin Department of Revenue (DOR) is providing the **estimated** shared revenue amounts your local government will receive in 2019 under current state law for county and municipal aid (*previously referred to as base shared revenue*), utility aid and the expenditure restraint program.

District	COUNTY OF IOWA	County	IOWA	Co-muni code	25-999

Estimate Information

For an explanation of each aid payment below, review the additional information on the back of this estimate.

2019 Original Estimate	
1. County and municipal aid	$116,855.92
2. Utility aid	$228,710.23
3. Expenditure restraint program payment	$0.00
4. **Total Estimated 2019 Payments** *(sum of Lines 1-3)*	$345,566.15

Payment Information

Your local government will receive two distributions in 2019 :

- July 22, 2019 – 15 percent of Lines 1 and 2 (listed above) and 100 percent of Line 3 (listed above)
- November 18, 2019 – balance of your 2019 aid payments

Contact Information

If you have questions, contact us at (608) 266-8618 or lgs@wisconsin.gov.

County and Municipal Aid

Under state law, the county and municipal aid paid to each municipality and county must be equal to the amount determined in 2012 (sec. 79.035(5), Wis. Stats.)

Utility Aid

- Under state law, utility aid is distributed to each county and municipality where tax-exempt utility property is located (sec. 79.04, Wis. Stats.)
- Utility companies report utility property information to DOR annually
- As shown below, DOR uses the provided information to accurately calculate utility aid

Utility Aid Calculation	
1. Net book value, *as of December 31, 2018* (including expected additions/retirements)	$40,046,296.00
2. Total net book value payment	$228,710.23
3. Minimum payment	$0.00
4. Megawatt capacity	0
5. Megawatt capacity payment	$0.00
6. Closed/decommissioned production plant (phased down) payment	$0.00
7. Subtotal *(sum of Lines 2, 3, 5 and 6)*	$228,710.23
8. Population cap	$1,977,500.00
9. Applied subtotal *(lesser of Line 7 or Line 8)*	$228,710.23
10. Adjacent site incentive payment	$0.00
11. Baseload incentive payment	$0.00
12. Co-generation/alternative energy incentive payment	$0.00
13. Total incentive payments *(sum of Lines 10 thru 12)*	$0.00
14. Spent nuclear fuel payment	$0.00
15. **Total Utility Aid** – this is the utility aid payment listed on the front of the estimate *(sum of Lines 9, 13 and 14)*	$228,710.23

Expenditure Restraint Program

- Only municipalities are eligible to receive ERP payments
- To qualify for a 2019 ERP payment, a municipality must meet **both** of the following requirements:
  - 2017 municipal TID Out property tax rate must be greater than five mills
  - 2017 to 2018 municipal net general fund budget increase must be less than the allowable percentage provided on your municipality's 2018 budget limit letter

Did your municipality qualify for a 2019 ERP payment? [ ] **Yes** [x] **No**

The ERP payment calculation is listed below. If your municipality did not qualify, the payment amount is zero.

ERP Payment Calculation	
1. 2017 municipal TID Out property tax rate	0
2. Excess tax rate *(Line 1 minus .005)*	0
3. 2017 Equalized Value TID In	0
4. Excess tax rate amount *(Line 2 multiplied by Line 3)*	$0.00
5. ERP payment factor	0
6. ERP payment (*Line 4 multiplied by Line 5*)	$0.00
7. Additional payment under sec. 79.05(7), Wis. Stats.	$0.00
8. **Total ERP payment** – this is the ERP payment listed on the front of the estimate *(sum of Lines 6 and 7)*	$0.00

AGENDA ITEM COVER SHEET

Title: Resolution requesting financial aid from the Wisconsin Department of Trar

(•) Original ( ) Update

TO BE COMPLETED BY COUNTY DEPARTMENT HEAD

DESCRIPTION OF AGENDA ITEM (Please provide detailed information, including deadline):

The Department has funded, planned, and bid a building replacement for the salt shed in Highland. The Department has been discussing the project with the state for a period of 3+ years. In a recent memo from the state the Department learned that the state apparently has not budgeted and cannot afford their 1/3 share of the project. The Department has to replace the shed due to condition of the steel supports for the structure and has removed the building. the Department has been holding back other capital funds to cover the full cost of the project; but feels the Department should fund their share of the cost rather than the county funded all of the costs.

RECOMMENDATIONS (IF ANY):

Recommend to approve the resolution and move to the County Board for consideration. The County could provide an annual agreement for rental of space to recuperate the capital cost if fully fronted by the county. If the County is to fully fund the project, consider transferring $200,000 from the Highway Fund Balance to cover the project costs which WisDOT is not contributing so the Department may continue with other capital purchases for the year.

ANY ATTACHMENTS? (Only 1 copy is needed) (•) Yes ( ) No If yes, please list below:

Copy of resolution.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Project is in the 2019 Department budget. State share is between $180,000 and $215,000.

LEGAL REVIEW PERFORMED: ( ) Yes (•) No **PUBLICATION REQUIRED:** ( ) Yes (•) No

PRESENTATION?: (•) Yes ( ) No How much time is needed? 10 minutes

COMPLETED BY: CRH **DEPT:** Highway

2/3 VOTE REQUIRED: ( ) Yes ( ) No

TO BE COMPLETED BY COMMITTEE CHAIR

MEETING DATE: 07-01-2019 **AGENDA ITEM #** 9

COMMITTEE ACTION:

Highland Salt Shed Project

Work	Major Quantity	Units	Estimate	Budget	
Building Removals	2	Each	$ 14,112.50		
Unclassified Excavation	2476	CY	$ 50,985.59		
CABC / Breaker Placement	2550	Ton	$ 32,897.56		
Asphalt Paving	743	Ton	$ 46,914.71		
Debris Hauling	820	Ton	$ 9,616.17		
Storm Sewer	464	LF	$ 8,991.78		
Backfilling	1347	CY	$ 25,694.06		
			$ 189,212.37		
Building Contractor			$ 420,576.00	Top Notch Const	
Engineering			$ 21,541.00		
			$ 442,117.00	$	560,100.00
WisDOT share				$	186,700.00
			$ 631,329.37	$	746,800.00

F

1.0 Authority

All sodium chloride storage sheds located on county property, financed by the department, shall upon installation become and remain the property of the county. (The department shall agree with the county annually to reserve its square footage of any shed for storage of state salt.) The county will insure and maintain these facilities. The county shall be responsible for communicating with the vendor concerns about warranty items related to the facilities.

The department shall pay a portion of the costs of maintenance, repairs, regulatory fees, and depreciation of storage facilities for sodium chloride. The payment calculation is dependent upon who funded the construction of the facility. The 2019 reimbursement will be paid in January based on the 2017 costs.

2.0 Definition of Cost

1. For all sodium chloride storage facilities located on county property, the maintenance and repair costs shall be shared using the ratio of department sodium chloride purchased (in tons) to the total of all sodium chloride purchased by the department and county based on the average of the prior calendar years, 2017 and 2016.

2. The department shall share in the depreciation costs for facilities when the department's sodium chloride purchases for the year exceed the department-financed capacity in the county. The share is determined by multiplying depreciation costs by the ratio of department purchases (in tons) exceeding department-financed capacity to total purchases less department financed capacity.

3.0 Replacement of State Financed Winter Maintenance Equipment

Once the state financed equipment is taken out of service, if the county chooses to purchase replacement equipment, the acquisition and O & M costs of the replacement equipment will be initially paid for by the county and then reimbursed by the state through the normal equipment rate formula.

In those instances where a unit of State financed equipment is past the end of its originally projected service life, the department will recognize the substantive refurbishment of the existing unit of state financed equipment by the county as an equipment replacement. A substantive refurbishment means major repairs and maintenance. It is further defined as repairs costing in excess of the equipment's remaining residual value or typically 15% of the original purchase price of the equipment.

The county will finance the substantive refurbishment and will recover its investment by charging the state or another county a mutually agreed upon rate for the use of this equipment. This non-standard equipment rate will be determined jointly by the department and the county and is subject to normal equipment rate review by the Machinery Management Committee.

BLANK

AGENDA ITEM COVER SHEET

Title: Consider rescinding Resolutions 8-0610 and 5-0405 regarding designated

(•) Original ( ) Update

TO BE COMPLETED BY COUNTY DEPARTMENT HEAD

DESCRIPTION OF AGENDA ITEM (Please provide detailed information, including deadline):

The County passed resolutions 5-0405 and 8-0610 in an effort to capture and preserve funding for paint program equipment purchases as a result of taking over the SW region painting program for the state back in 2005. at the time, the Department was not allocating equipment revenues back into equipment purchases. Recently the Department replaced the paint centerliner truck for this phase of operations, which was put in operation in June of 2019.

RECOMMENDATIONS (IF ANY):

Recommend to approve the rescinding of the resolutions. Revenues may still be generated and retained by the department for equipment or other expenses, but they are not retained and designated for a specific purpose any longer.

ANY ATTACHMENTS? (Only 1 copy is needed) (•) Yes ( ) No If yes, please list below:

Resolutions and other information on the Paint Program

FISCAL IMPACT:

None. Activity is budgeted activity or for hire.

LEGAL REVIEW PERFORMED: ( ) Yes (•) No **PUBLICATION REQUIRED:** ( ) Yes (•) No

PRESENTATION?: (•) Yes ( ) No How much time is needed? 10 minutes

COMPLETED BY: CRH **DEPT:** Highway

2/3 VOTE REQUIRED: ( ) Yes (•) No

TO BE COMPLETED BY COMMITTEE CHAIR

MEETING DATE: 07-01-2019 **AGENDA ITEM #** 10

COMMITTEE ACTION:

Iowa County Painting Program 2004-2015

Year	Labor	OT	Fringes	Small Tools	Machinery	Materials	Handling Charge	Admin. Fee	Total Amount	Reimbursed	
State											
2017											
2016											
2015	41,814.43	8,503.33	39,107.62	-	77,017.08	292,588.29	-	19,830.13	478,860.88	478,860.88	76.8%
2014	29,486.67	1,741.24	24,763.80	490.53	54,555.60	231,014.42	-	15,187.13	357,239.39	357,239.39	83.6%
2013	26,158.31	923.50	19,857.41	685.87	52,913.15	224,115.84	-	15,391.02	340,045.10	340,045.10	74.0%
2012	37,378.44	1,565.32	28,713.24	541.25	78,735.26	293,384.90	-	21,327.14	461,645.55	461,645.55	82.2%
2011	41,248.68	-	31,283.02	854.45	80,705.04	236,945.56	-	18,104.99	409,141.74	409,141.74	84.6%
2010	43,915.95	-	35,409.43	-	93,801.13	305,440.26		19,334.10	497,900.87	497,900.87	76.8%
2009	32,081.48	845.77	21,728.70	327.95	64,468.42	196,036.92	-	13,408.29	328,897.53	328,897.53	69.0%
2008	39,726.94	3,037.81	31,218.29	739.80	87,254.11	245,700.04	-	18,345.48	426,022.47	426,022.47	74.5%
2007	33,265.25	2,484.57	25,686.26	1,290.16	66,278.49	179,704.29	-	13,891.90	322,600.92	322,600.92	69.3%
2006	29,073.84	2,243.93	23,435.08	985.56	64,146.61	175,222.80	-	13,279.86	308,387.68	308,387.68	70.2%
2005	29,385.58	1,154.51	24,349.61	1,317.35	57,091.12	153,403.21	-	12,001.56	278,702.94	278,702.94	68.1%
2004	23,623.50	720.43	18,131.36	807.03	57,611.13	142,875.75	-	10,969.61	254,738.81	254,738.81	74.7%
Other Municipalities											
2015	5,209.09	-	4,049.03	-	7,647.61	52,843.65	-	3,013.17	72,762.55	72,762.55	11.7%
2014	3,537.43	144.48	2,749.64	31.84	5,827.90	32,924.05	-	2,007.56	47,222.90	47,222.90	11.1%
2013	5,299.98	86.46	4,114.59	47.70	9,490.22	61,239.04	-	3,564.34	83,842.33	83,842.33	18.2%
2012	3,562.58	57.30	2,768.05	32.07	7,980.04	30,059.65	-	1,974.00	46,433.69	46,433.69	8.3%
2011	3,490.69	-	2,647.32	-	6,515.67	33,087.55	-	2,117.79	47,859.02	47,859.02	9.9%
2010	6,829.24	-	5,506.42	-	13,494.75	72,510.06		3,972.93	102,313.40	102,313.40	15.8%
2009	5,153.21	205.08	3,535.95	53.36	9,875.68	54,319.61	-	3,108.57	76,251.46	76,251.46	16.0%
2008	3,942.36	620.80	3,331.11	78.95	10,917.64	48,179.01	-	3,018.15	70,088.02	70,088.02	12.3%
2007	6,875.99	139.11	5,040.33	253.17	14,932.55	54,984.25	-	3,325.76	85,424.24	85,424.24	18.4%
2006	4,943.46	376.60	3,981.00	167.43	12,220.10	53,856.46	5,385.65	3,237.24	84,167.94	84,167.94	19.1%
2005	6,944.03	617.11	6,028.51	326.14	15,298.35	44,497.29	4,314.76	3,093.32	81,119.51	81,119.51	19.8%
2004	2,315.25	-	1,724.40	76.75	9,146.24	32,041.45	3,204.16	970.17	49,478.42	49,478.42	14.5%
Iowa County											
2015	7,057.00	-	5,485.41	-	13,594.86	42,706.11		2,974.03	71,817.41	-	11.5%
2014	2,960.07	14.11	1,294.55	27.12	3,336.40	14,115.76	-	965.61	22,713.62	-	5.3%
2013	2,591.91	-	2,014.71	-	3,938.94	25,538.95	-	1,513.17	35,597.68	-	7.7%
2012	4,013.07	-	2,958.84	55.74	6,577.34	37,717.90	-	2,278.74	53,601.63	-	9.5%
2011	3,475.05	-	2,635.48	73.32	5,205.96	13,963.73	-	1,125.70	26,479.24	-	5.5%
2010	5,026.52	-	4,052.87	-	6,624.14	32,320.12			48,023.65	-	7.4%
2009	7,530.93	163.98	5,077.89	76.63	10,393.04	48,028.36	-	-	71,270.83	-	15.0%
2008	8,380.14	158.84	6,233.46	147.73	14,381.44	46,152.29	-	-	75,453.90	-	13.2%
2007	7,228.24	205.59	5,343.21	267.97	11,457.64	32,734.31	-	-	57,236.96	-	12.3%
2006	5,937.13	1.20	4,443.66	186.87	10,193.42	26,244.39	-	-	47,006.67	-	10.7%
2005	6,882.35	60.53	5,535.56	299.49	10,167.58	26,323.80			49,269.31	-	12.0%
2004	4,681.70	-	3,486.93	155.20	7,821.23	20,689.72			36,834.78	-	10.8%
Total Painting											
2015	54,080.52	8,503.33	48,642.06	-	98,259.55	388,138.05	-	25,817.33	623,440.84	551,623.43	
2014	35,984.17	1,899.83	28,807.99	549.49	63,719.90	278,054.23	-	18,160.30	427,175.91	404,462.29	
2013	34,050.20	1,009.96	25,986.71	733.57	66,342.31	310,893.83	-	20,468.53	459,485.11	423,887.43	
2012	44,954.09	1,622.62	34,440.13	629.06	93,292.64	361,162.45	-	25,579.88	561,680.87	508,079.24	
2011	48,214.42	-	36,565.82	927.77	92,426.67	283,996.84	-	21,348.48	483,480.00	457,000.76	
2010	55,771.71	-	44,968.72	-	113,920.02	410,270.44	-	23,307.03	648,237.92	600,214.27	
2009	44,765.62	1,214.83	30,342.54	457.94	84,737.14	298,384.89	-	16,516.86	476,419.82	405,148.99	
2008	52,049.44	3,817.45	40,782.86	966.48	112,553.19	340,031.34	-	21,363.63	571,564.39	496,110.49	

Iowa County Painting Program 2004-2015														
2007	47,369.48	2,829.27	36,069.80	1,811.30	92,668.68	267,422.85	-	17,217.66	465,262.12		408,025.16		
2006	39,954.43	2,621.73	31,859.74	1,339.86	86,560.13	255,323.65	5,385.65	16,517.10	439,562.29		392,555.62		
2005	43,211.96	1,832.15	35,913.68	1,942.98	82,557.05	224,224.30	4,314.76	15,094.88	409,091.76		359,822.45		
2004	30,620.45	720.43	23,342.69	1,038.98	74,578.60	195,606.92	3,204.16	11,939.78	341,052.01		304,217.23		

Iowa County Painting Program 2004-2015

Machinery Totals

	145 CREW CAB			146 PAINT TRUCK			147 SUPPLY TRUCK		
	Revenues	Costs	Difference	Revenues	Costs	Difference	Revenues	Costs	Difference
2015	17,340.11	7,776.38	9,563.73	48,219.15	41,283.27	6,935.88	37,780.92	17,384.63	20,396.29
2014	13,138.93	9,960.84	3,178.09	32,002.06	38,527.80	(6,525.74)	19,198.00	13,163.17	6,034.83
2013	12,723.34	8,618.59	4,104.75	34,708.80	35,334.88	(626.08)	15,930.21	16,256.61	(326.40)
2012	13,352.20	9,010.42	4,341.78	46,832.08	51,575.76	(4,743.68)	30,391.26	17,451.03	12,940.23
2011	16,003.15	11,367.40	4,635.75	47,566.80	35,695.42	11,871.38	27,353.65	18,206.53	9,147.12
2010	14,064.13	11,758.04	2,306.09	57,890.72	44,317.17	13,573.55	31,785.61	16,306.18	15,479.43
2009	10,849.42	11,695.67	(846.25)	43,976.25	28,128.54	15,847.71	22,876.00	8,866.94	14,009.06
2008	14,734.21	11,847.05	2,887.16	54,348.30	38,758.04	15,590.26	33,225.82	18,082.68	15,143.14
2007	14,048.78	13,417.00	631.78	47,590.31	64,736.71	(17,146.40)	25,490.40	13,606.56	11,883.84
2006	8,094.45	7,799.86	294.59	48,659.49	50,410.93	(1,751.44)	24,652.74	20,850.76	3,801.98
2005	7,735.00	8,995.82	(1,260.82)	50,166.24	53,713.09	(3,546.85)	20,507.92	11,666.30	8,841.62
2004	-	-	-	48,600.70	17,923.47	30,677.23	21,154.60	5,833.72	15,320.88

	45 MESSAGE BOARD			47A ATTENUATOR			55 ATTENUATOR		
	Revenues	Costs	Difference	Revenues	Costs	Difference	Revenues	Costs	Difference
2015	6,197.97	1,160.36	5,037.61	-	-	-	4,554.99	694.29	3,860.70
2014	4,253.53	1,055.39	3,198.14	-	-	-	1,988.48	1,636.67	351.81
2013	5,184.47	1,102.14	4,082.33	-	-	-	1,572.00	1,430.28	141.72
2012	6,315.33	1,055.39	5,259.94	-	-	-	2,842.08	860.59	1,981.49
2011	6,905.96	1,055.39	5,850.57	-	-	-	2,138.26	933.59	1,204.67
2010	6,648.54	1,069.89	5,578.65	-	-	-	2,874.51	448.37	2,426.14
2009	5,395.62	1,081.68	4,313.94	1,946.20	2,003.98	(57.78)	199.80	1,165.68	(965.88)
2008	6,059.45	951.26	5,108.19	3,795.44	214.06	3,581.38	-	-	-
2007	2,805.76	971.14	1,834.62	3,206.28	385.08	2,821.20	-	-	-
2006	-	-	-	3,667.58	214.68	3,452.90	-	-	-
2005	-	-	-	2,502.94	243.80	2,259.14	-	-	-
2004	-	-	-	2,515.02	616.10	1,898.92	-	-	-

	GRAND TOTAL		
	Revenues	Costs	Difference
2015	114,093.14	68,298.93	45,794.21
2014	70,581.00	64,343.87	6,237.13
2013	70,118.82	62,742.50	7,376.32
2012	99,732.95	79,953.19	19,779.76
2011	99,967.82	67,258.33	32,709.49
2010	113,263.51	73,899.65	39,363.86
2009	85,243.29	52,942.49	32,300.80
2008	112,163.22	69,853.09	42,310.13
2007	93,141.53	93,116.49	25.04
2006	85,074.26	79,276.23	5,798.03
2005	80,912.10	74,619.01	6,293.09
2004	72,270.32	24,373.29	47,897.03
	982,468.82	742,378.14	240,090.68

Comparison of #145, #146, #147

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
145								
REVENUE	18,074.97	20,566.96	17,160.00	16,351.81	16,885.92	23,725.60	9,679.01	22,285.20
TOTAL COST	15,111.24	14,609.18	10,712.59	11,108.66	12,801.49	13,861.79	7,268.40	9,994.07
Net Revenue in Excess of Cost	2,963.73	5,957.78	6,447.41	5,243.15	4,084.43	9,863.81	2,410.61	12,291.13
146								
REVENUE	57,890.72	47,566.80	46,832.08	34,708.80	32,002.06	56,020.72	55,464.50	48,219.15
TOTAL COST	44,317.17	31,675.60	37,446.73	31,734.46	35,218.31	52,664.61	47,846.87	39,337.13
Net Revenue in Excess of Cost	13,573.55	15,891.20	9,385.35	2,974.34	(3,216.25)	3,356.11	7,617.63	8,882.02
147								
REVENUE	31,785.61	27,353.65	30,391.26	15,930.21	19,198.00	29,734.27	30,577.80	37,780.92
TOTAL COST	16,306.18	18,206.53	16,330.63	16,313.32	13,163.17	18,457.29	14,737.30	17,384.63
Net Revenue in Excess of Cost	15,479.43	9,147.12	14,060.63	(383.11)	6,034.83	11,276.98	15,840.50	20,396.29
Total Net Revenue in Excess of Cost for the year	**32,016.71**	**30,996.10**	**29,893.39**	**7,834.38**	**6,903.01**	**24,496.90**	**25,868.74**	**41,569.44**
Cumulative		63,012.81	60,889.49	37,727.77	14,737.39	31,399.91	50,365.64	67,438.18
100% of the Profit (Net Rev in Excess of Cost)	**32,016.71**	**30,996.10**	**29,893.39**	**7,834.38**	**6,903.01**	**24,496.90**	**25,868.74**	**41,569.44**
Balance of a/c 710.70.33110.00000.000 Replacement of Paint Truck at 12/31/10 and then 100% of the profit each year added to that balance and transferred in 2019	**82,702.38**	**113,698.48**	**143,591.87**	**151,426.25**	**158,329.26**	**182,826.16**	**208,694.90**	**250,264.34**

23

Highway Department - 2019 May-June Auction Listing

Unit #	Year	Description	Salvage Value	Book Value	Auction Price	Net
SHOP	1960	Go-Devil Carry-deck Crane	$ -	$ -	$3,050.00	$ 3,050.00
106	1999	Sterling Tri-axle Plow Truck	$ 13,995.00			
706		Universal 11Ft Front Plow	$ 804.00			
806		Universal 9FT Patrol Wing Plow	$ 699.00			
906		Swenson 6-Inch Auger Spreader	$ 274.00	$ 15,772.00	$10,250.00	$ (5,522.00)
135	2000	Freightliner Single Axle Plow Truck	$ 10,504.00			
735		Drott 11Ft Front Plow	$ 828.00			
835		Universal 9FT Patrol Wing Plow	$ 703.00			
938		Swenson 6-Inch Auger Spreader	$ 303.00	$ 12,338.00	$2,035.00	$ (10,303.00)
157	1999	Sterling Single Axle Plow Truck	$ 9,820.00			
757		Universal 11Ft Front Plow	$ 821.00			
857		Universal 9FT Patrol Wing Plow	$ 712.00			
984		Swenson 6-Inch Auger Spreader	$ 584.00	$ 11,937.00	$3,050.00	$ (8,887.00)
158	2012	International Single Axle Plow Truck	$ 19,074.00			
758		Universal 11Ft Front Plow	$ 842.00			
858		Universal 9FT Patrol Wing Plow	$ 878.00			
958		Swenson 6-Inch Auger Spreader	$ 652.00	$ 21,446.00	$13,250.00	$ (8,196.00)
195	2005	Chevrolet Silverado Extended Cab Pickup	$ 3,985.00	$ 3,985.00	$889.00	$ (3,096.00)
428M	1998	Tiger Rear Flail Mower	$ 880.00	$ 880.00	$190.00	$ (690.00)
429RM	2007	Diamond Side Flail Mower	$ 2,177.00	$ 2,177.00	$305.00	$ (1,872.00)
Materials		4 Lots of Salvaged Guardrail	$ -	$ -	$2,620.00	$ 2,620.00
Materials		2 Lots of Salvaged Wooden Posts	$ -	$ -	$220.72	$ 220.72
407T	2017	Road Warrior Tandem Axle Power Tilt	$ 776.96	$ 3,712.11	$4,200.00	$ 487.89
		Caterpillar Tractor Wing Mower Frame	$ -	$ -	$6.00	$ 6.00
		Lot of 4 task 500 Portable Working Lights	$ -	$ -	$5.00	$ 5.00
	2003	Bibeau 17' Truck box	$ -	$ -	$602.00	$ 602.00
		JAMAR RAC distance measuring device	$ -	$ -	$22.50	$ 22.50
Materials		Salvaged T-Walls from Highland Salt Shed	$ -	$ -	$2,715.00	$ 2,715.00
		TOTALS	**$ 68,535.00**	**$ 72,247.11**	$40,695.22	$ (28,836.89)

2019-2022 FINAL STIP Project Listing
SW Region
IOWA County

Year	Project	Schd Dt	Pgm	Contract Type	CONCEPT	Net Miles	HWY	Project Description WISDOT Program	Estimate Anticipated Funding
2020	5579-00-73	11/10/20	205	LET	BRRPL	0.126	CTH H	CTH T - CTH T	$1,000,000 - $1,999,999
								MILL CREEK BRIDGE B-25-0186	
								CONST OPS/BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	
								LOCAL BRIDGES	NON-FEDERAL
2019	5688-00-75	11/12/19	205	LET	BRRPL	0.047	CTH HH	CTH K - CTH H	$250,000 - $499,999
								WHITE HOLLOW CR BRIDGE B-25-0178	
								CONST OPS/BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	
								LOCAL BRIDGES	NON-FEDERAL
2020	5682-00-05	04/25/20	205	C/E	BRRPL	0.033	CTH II	CTH Q - CTH I	$100,000 - $249,999
								OTTER CREEK BRIDGE, P-25-0075	
								DESIGN/BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	
								LOCAL BRIDGES	NON-FEDERAL
2021	5682-00-75	12/14/21	205	LET	BRRPL	0.033	CTH II	CTH Q - CTH I	$1,000,000 - $1,999,999
								OTTER CREEK BRIDGE, B-25-XXXX	
								CONST OPS/BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	
								LOCAL BRIDGES	NON-FEDERAL
2019	5921-00-74	12/10/19	205	LET	BRRPL	0.055	CTH Y	USH 151 - STH 191	$250,000 - $499,999
								DODGE BRANCH BRIDGE B-25-0179	
								CONST OPS/BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	
								LOCAL BRIDGES	NON-FEDERAL
2019	5699-00-76	12/10/19	205	LET	BRRPL	0.051	LOC STR	T DODGEVILLE, SURVEY ROAD	$250,000 - $499,999
								BR MINERAL POINT CRK B-25-0187	
								CONST OPS/BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	
								LOCAL BRIDGES	NON-FEDERAL
2020	5255-01-82	01/14/20	303	LET	BRRPL	0.000	LOC STR	MINERAL POINT - SPRING GREEN	$0 - $99,999
								TALIESIN TRAIL STRUCTURE B-25-XXXX	
								CONST/ BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	
								SHR BRIDGES	NON-FEDERAL

25

2019-2022 FINAL STIP Project Listing
SW Region
IOWA County

Year	Project	Schd Dt	Pgm	Contract Type	CONCEPT	Net Miles	HWY	Project Description WISDOT Program	Estimate Anticipated Funding
2022	5940-02-60	03/08/22	303	LET	RSRF10	8.140	STH 133	MUSCODA - LONE ROCK	$2,000,000 - $2,999,999
								STH 80 TO STH 130	
								CONSTRUCTION/ MILL & OVERLAY	
								STATE 3R	STBG <5K POP FAST
2021	5953-02-73	12/14/21	303	LET	PSRS40	11.830	STH 191	DODGEVILLE - HOLLANDALE	$2,000,000 - $2,999,999
								DIAMOND OAKS DRIVE TO CTH K	
								CONST/ MILL AND OVERLAY	
								STATE 3R	SURFACE TRANSP BLOCK
2019	1204-05-72	04/09/19	303	LET	RSRF30	13.410	USH 018	DODGEVILLE - MOUNT HOREB	$17,000,000 - $19,999,999
								USH 151 TO E COUNTY LINE	
								CONST/RESURFACE EB & WB; B-25-0038	
								BACKBONE	NATIONAL HIGHWAY PER
2020	1204-05-76	11/10/20	303	LET	MISC	9.216	USH 018	DODGEVILLE - MOUNT HOREB	$2,000,000 - $2,999,999
								CTH BB TO CTH JG	
								CONST/MEDIAN CABLE BARRIER	
								SAFETY (REGULAR HSIP)	HIGHWAY SAFETY IMP P
2019	1204-04-97	03/25/19	303	SFA	MISC	3.340	USH 151	STH 23 TO USH 18	$0 - $99,999
								PLATTEVILLE - MADISON/B-25-16,21,22	
								TRF MIT 1204-04-77	
								BACKBONE	NON-FEDERAL

26

State of Wisconsin
2019 - 2020 LEGISLATURE

LRB-0120/1
JK:klm

2019 ASSEMBLY BILL 310

June 25, 2019 - Introduced by Representatives NOVAK, SHANKLAND, CONSIDINE, EDMING, KITCHENS, MILROY, QUINN, ROHRKASTE, SARGENT, SPIROS, SPREITZER, SWEARINGEN, SNYDER, SINICKI and SKOWRONSKI, cosponsored by Senators MARKLEIN, BEWLEY, TESTIN and BERNIER. Referred to Committee on Government Accountability and Oversight.

AN ACT ***to renumber and amend*** 66.0602 (4) (c); ***to amend*** 66.0602 (2m) (b) 4. and 66.0602 (4) (a); and ***to create*** 66.0602 (4) (c) 1. to 4. of the statutes; **relating to:** holding a referendum to increase a political subdivision's levy limit.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, with certain exceptions, no political subdivision may increase its property tax levy in any year by a percentage that exceeds the valuation factor. The valuation factor is a percentage equal to the greater of either the percentage change in the political subdivision's January 1 equalized property values due to new construction (less improvements removed between the previous year and the current year) or zero percent. One exception allows a political subdivision to increase its levy limit beyond the amount of the previous year's levy, as increased by the valuation factor, by adopting a resolution and having the increase approved at a referendum. The increase may apply for the next fiscal year or on an ongoing basis

Current law prescribes the exact wording of the ballot question. The ballot question must include the name of the political subdivision and provide a description of the levy limit that applies to the political subdivision for the next fiscal year. The ballot question must then ask the elector whether he or she agrees to allow the political subdivision to exceed the limit by a specified amount, which is also expressed as a percentage increase from the previous year's levy. The question must also describe the purpose for which the increase will be used. For any levy in an odd-numbered year, the political subdivision may call a special referendum to consider the question. In an even-numbered year, the political subdivision must hold the referendum at the next spring primary or election or partisan primary or general election following adoption of the resolution.

This bill allows a political subdivision to use its best estimate of its valuation factor, based on the most current data available to it, in order to adopt a resolution and hold a referendum to increase its levy beyond the allowable limit. The political subdivision may call a special referendum to consider the resolution. Otherwise, the referendum would be held at the spring primary or election or partisan primary or general election. The bill does not prescribe the exact wording for the ballot, but instead provides that the question include the following:

1. The name of the political subdivision to which the levy increase applies.
2. The purpose for which the increase will be used.
3. If the increase is for the next fiscal year only, the percentage increase in the levy from the previous year's levy and the amount of the increase.
4. If the increase is on an ongoing basis, the amount of the increase for each fiscal year for which the increase applies.

For further information see the ***local*** fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 66.0602 (2m) (b) 4. of the statutes is amended to read:

66.0602 **(2m)** (b) 4. The requirement under subd. 2. or 3. does not apply if the governing body of the political subdivision adopts a resolution that the levy limit should not be reduced and if the resolution is approved in a referendum. The procedure under sub. (4) applies to a referendum under this subdivision, except that the resolution and referendum question need not specify an amount of increase in the levy limit or the length of time for which the levy limit increase will apply ~~and the referendum question need not follow the question format under sub. (4) (c)~~.

SECTION 2. 66.0602 (4) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

66.0602 **(4)** (a) A political subdivision may exceed the levy increase limit under sub. (2) if its governing body adopts a resolution to that effect and if the resolution is approved in a referendum. For purposes of this paragraph, the political subdivision may use its best estimate of its valuation factor, based on the most current data available to it. The resolution shall specify the proposed amount of increase in the levy ~~beyond the amount that is allowed under sub. (2)~~, the purpose for which the increase will be used, and whether the proposed amount of increase is for the next fiscal year only or if it will apply on an ongoing basis. ~~With regard to a referendum relating to the 2005 levy, or any levy in an odd-numbered year thereafter, the~~ The political subdivision may call a special referendum for the purpose of submitting the resolution to the electors of the political subdivision for approval or rejection. ~~With regard to a referendum relating to the 2006 levy, or any levy in an even-numbered year thereafter, the~~ Otherwise, a referendum ~~shall~~ may be held at the ~~next succeeding~~ spring primary or election or partisan primary or general election.

SECTION 3. 66.0602 (4) (c) of the statutes is renumbered 66.0602 (4) (c) (intro.) and amended to read:

66.0602 **(4)** (c) (intro.) The referendum shall be held in accordance with chs. 5 to 12. The political subdivision shall provide the election officials with all necessary election supplies. The form of the ballot shall correspond substantially with the standard form for referendum ballots under ss. 5.64 (2) and 7.08 (1) (a). The question shall ~~be submitted as follows: "Under state law, the increase in the levy of the .... (name of political subdivision) for the tax to be imposed for the next fiscal year, ... (year), is limited to ....%, which results in a levy of $.... Shall the .... (name of political subdivision) be allowed to exceed this limit and increase the levy for the next fiscal year, ... (year), for .... (purpose for which the increase will be used), by a total of ....%, which results in a levy of $....?". In preparing the ballot question for a referendum held at a partisan primary in 2014, as it relates to the allowable amount of levy rate increase and the total amount of a levy, a county with a population of at least 30,000,~~

~~but no more than 40,000, that is adjacent to a county with a population exceeding 450,000, shall use the most recent data that it has and the most recent data that is available from the department of revenue.~~ include the following:

SECTION 4. 66.0602 (4) (c) 1. to 4. of the statutes are created to read:

66.0602 **(4)** (c) 1. The name of the political subdivision to which the levy increase applies.

2. The purpose for which the increase will be used.

3. If the increase is for the next fiscal year only, the percentage increase in the levy from the previous year's levy and the amount of the increase.

4. If the increase is on an ongoing basis, the amount of the increase for each fiscal year for which the increase applies.

(END)