

MINUTES

SENIORS UNITED FOR NUTRITION PROGRAM BOARD MEETING TUESDAY, May 28, 2024 at 5:00 p.m.

Health & Human Services Building
303 W. Chapel Street, Dodgeville, Wisconsin

Item	
1	Chairman Masters called the meeting to order at 5:00 p.m.
2	Roll Call:
	Members Present in the Community Room: Mel Masters, Dody Cockeram, David Gollon, Lee Gill, Emmett
	Reilly, Larry Ludlum
	Members Present Remotely: None
	Members Excused: None.
	Others Present in Community Room: Andrew Hodgson, Melissa Weier, Dan Nankee, Bruce Paull, Nikki
	Mumm, Tom Slaney, Chris Lange, Wanda Husted, Cheryl Bjorge, Dianne Evans, John Meyers
	Others Present Remotely: Allison Leitzinger, Dana Harcus, Margaret Sutter
3	Certification of compliance with open meetings law:
	Weier verified the meeting was properly posted on 5/21/24 and the amended agenda was properly posted
	on 5/23/24. The agenda, amended agenda and proposed 4/30/24 minutes were emailed to the Dodgeville
	Chronicle, Darlington Republican Journal, Iowa and Lafayette County Clerks.
4	Approval of the May 28, 2024 Agenda:
	Motion by Gollon and seconded by Lee to accept the agenda. Aye: 6; Nay: 0. Motion carried.
5	Approval of the April 30, 2024 Meeting Minutes:
	Motion to approve the minutes of the April 30, 2024 meeting by Cockeram and seconded by Gollon. Aye: 6;
	Nay: 0. Motion carried.
6	Reports From Board Members and an Opportunity for Members of the Audience to Address the Board.
	No action will be taken: Discussion – Husted, Dodgeville site manager shared that there are 49 volunteers
	in their pool to pull from for various tasks. She encourages the Board to talk to volunteers as they consider
	changes for the program. Husted notes that she will have to leave as site manager in a few months to care
	for family. Paull mentioned attending Aging Advocacy Day and funding issues. He suggests that the Board
	send a letter to our state representative to request that funding be changed to favor home delivered meals.
	Slaney notes that the Iowa County Board approved charging the market rate for SUN's rent. Iowa County
	will charge approximately \$31,000 per year for the space. A letter will be coming from the county
	administrator and sent to Lafayette for the proposed 2025 budget. Reilly is requesting a copy of the letter
	but it is not available yet.
7	Motion to convene Executive/Closed Session, pursuant Sec. 19.85 (1) (c), consideration of employment,
	promotion, compensation, and performance evaluation data of any employee over which the
	government body has jurisdiction or exercises responsibility. (Executive Director candidates)
	Motion to move into closed session at 5:25 p.m. by Gill and seconded by Ludlum. Public dismissed.
0	Roll call: Masters, Cockeram, Reilly, Ludlum, Lee, Gollon
8	Motion to return to open session: Motion to return to open session at 5:45 p.m. by Gill and seconded by
	Ludlum. Aye: 6; Nay: 0. Motion carried.
9	<u>Possible action on closed session item:</u> Motion by Cockeram to move forward with interviewing candidates for the Executive Director position, with Chris and Allison doing the interviews and the SUN Chair and Vice
	Chair attending, seconded by Gollon. Aye: 6; Nay: 0. Motion carried.
10	Aging & Disability Resource Center & County Aging Reports:
10	a. Lafayette County – Harcus presented. The Senior Social was May 8, SUN provided the meal. The
	county is working on the aging plan. There will be a seniors' farmers market voucher program
	again this year, it is a very well-liked program. Dementia classes are coming up.
	b. Iowa County – Written report in packet.
11	Monthly Reports- possible action:
	monthly reports: possible detion.

There has not been time to verify if the meals were truly congregate. <u>udget Update. Number 4 Nonprofits recalculate 2024 numbers to date:</u> Per Hodgson, the budget was altit separately for lowa and Lafayette Counties. Iowa County's new proposed budget comes close to alancing but Lafayette is about \$23,000 short. If the budgets are approved, a plan has to be provided for the Lafayette shortfall. Sutter said they will approve the budget and work with SUN and utilize more levy ioney if needed. Hodgson refigured the allocations to make better use of funding. Serving less meals may be an option. Motion to approve the budget for each county by Lee and seconded by Cockeram. Aye: 6; ay: 0. Motion carried. Tofit and loss information individual meal sites: lowa County figures were provided, Lafayette numbers re still in the works. Gollon suggests a bigger spread between congregate and home delivered suggested ontribution. SUN could charge less for congregate and more for home delivered. He suggests site by site esting to see if it works vs implementing it program wide. He also questioned meal cost based on priority. er Hodgson, the priority levels are set up by GWAAR. A new scoring system is to be implemented by July, here are high, moderate and low priority levels. Gollon asked if sites can be treated differently based on erformance or if they all need to be the same. Hodgson would need to check with GWAAR. Hodgson oses that if assessments are done consistently, these needs will be highlighted. Changes in meal cost go to AC committee first then onto the Board. Mumm proposes a pop-up or alternate site a day or two per reek in other communities on slow days for the regular sites. **Mac County Contract Update: Per Slaney, applying the new cost allocations retroactive to the beginning of the year should be cost effective. Per Hodgson, SUN would incur a \$2000 expense to possibly gain 16,000-18,000. Motion by Gollon and seconded by Lee to make cost allocations retroactive back to inuary. Aye: 6; Nay: 0. Motion carried. *
Judget Update. Number 4 Nonprofits recalculate 2024 numbers to date: Per Hodgson, the budget was a plit separately for I lowa and Lafayette Counties. Iowa County's new proposed budget comes close to alancing but Lafayette is about \$23,000 short. If the budgets are approved, a plan has to be provided for the Lafayette shortfall. Sutter said they will approve the budget and work with SUN and utilize more levy increy if needed. Hodgson refigured the allocations to make better use of funding. Serving less meals may e an option. Motion to approve the budget for each county by Lee and seconded by Cockeram. Aye: 6; ay: 0. Motion carried. Tofit and loss information individual meal sites: Iowa County figures were provided, Lafayette numbers re still in the works. Gollon suggests a bigger spread between congregate and home delivered suggested ontribution. SUN could charge less for congregate and more for home delivered. He suggests site by site setsing to see if it works vs implementing it program wide. He also questioned meal cost based on priority, er Hodgson, the priority levels are set up by GWAAR. A new scoring system is to be implemented by July. Hore are high, moderate and low priority levels. Gollon asked if sites can be treated differently based on erformance or if they all need to be the same. Hodgson would need to check with GWAAR. Hodgson oses that if assessments are done consistently, these needs will be highlighted. Changes in meal cost go to AC committee first then onto the Board. Mumm proposes a pop-up or alternate site a day or two per veek in other communities on slow days for the regular sites. Towa County Contract Update: Per Slaney, applying the new cost allocations retroactive to the beginning of the year should be cost effective. Per Hodgson, SUN would incur a \$2000 expense to possibly gain 16,000-18,000. Motion by Gollon and seconded by Lee to make cost allocations retroactive back to minurary. Aye: 6; Nay: 0. Motion carried. To fine the reference storage: The walk-in refrigerator is basically
Judget Update. Number 4 Nonprofits recalculate 2024 numbers to date: Per Hodgson, the budget was a plit separately for I owa and Lafayette Counties. Iowa County's new proposed budget comes close to alancing but Lafayette is about \$23,000 short. If the budgets are approved, a plan has to be provided for ne Lafayette shortfall. Sutter said they will approve the budget and work with SUN and utilize more levy noney if needed. Hodgson refigured the allocations to make better use of funding. Serving less meals may e an option. Motion to approve the budget for each county by Lee and seconded by Cockeram. Aye: 6; aye: 0. Motion carried. Forfit and loss information individual meal sites: lowa County figures were provided, Lafayette numbers re still in the works. Gollon suggests a bigger spread between congregate and home delivered suggested ontribution. SUN could charge less for congregate and more for home delivered. He suggests to the ysite setting to see if it works vs implementing it program wide. He also questioned meal cost based on priority, are Hodgson, the priority levels are set up by GWAAR. A new scoring system is to be implemented by July. The reaching in the priority levels are set up by GWAAR. A new scoring system is to be implemented by July. The reaching in the priority levels are set up by GWAAR. A new scoring system is to be implemented by July. The reaching in the priority levels are set up by GWAAR. A new scoring system is to be implemented by July. The reaching in the priority levels are set up by GWAAR. A new scoring system is to be implemented by July. The reaching in the priority levels are set up by GWAAR. How so will be highlighted. Changes in meal cost go to AC committee first then onto the Board. Mumm proposes a pop-up or alternate site a day or two per reach in other communities on slow days for the regular sites. For Sun is other communities on slow days for the regular sites. For Sun is other communities on slow days for the regular sites. For Sun is other communities on slow days for
Judget Update. Number 4 Nonprofits recalculate 2024 numbers to date: Per Hodgson, the budget was allt separately for lowa and Lafayette Counties. Iowa County's new proposed budget comes close to alancing but Lafayette is about \$23,000 short. If the budgets are approved, a plan has to be provided for the Lafayette shortfall. Sutter said they will approve the budget and work with SUN and utilize more levy aloney if needed. Hodgson refigured the allocations to make better use of funding. Serving less meals may be an option. Motion to approve the budget for each county by Lee and seconded by Cockeram. Aye: 6; aye: 0. Motion carried. For still in the works. Gollon suggests a bigger spread between congregate and home delivered suggested ontribution. SUN could charge less for congregate and more for home delivered. He suggests site by site setsing to see if it works vs implementing it program wide. He also questioned meal cost based on priority, er Hodgson, the priority levels are set up by GWAAR. A new scoring system is to be implemented by July, here are high, moderate and low priority levels. Gollon asked if sites can be treated differently based on erformance or if they all need to be the same. Hodgson would need to check with GWAAR. Hodgson oses that if assessments are done consistently, these needs will be highlighted. Changes in meal cost go to AC committee first then onto the Board. Mumm proposes a pop-up or alternate site a day or two per each in other communities on slow days for the regular sites. For a county Contract Update: Per Slaney, applying the new cost allocations retroactive to the beginning if the year should be cost effective. Per Hodgson, SUN would incur a \$2000 expense to possibly gain 16,000-18,000. Motion by Gollon and seconded by Lee to make cost allocations retroactive back to muary. Aye: 6; Nay: 0. Motion carried. For a county Contract Update: The walk-in refrigerator is basically empty. The freezer is full a couple days ach month until the orders go out. Are these facilities needed as
udget Update. Number 4 Nonprofits recalculate 2024 numbers to date: Per Hodgson, the budget was allt separately for lowa and Lafayette Counties. Iowa County's new proposed budget comes close to alancing but Lafayette is about \$23,000 short. If the budgets are approved, a plan has to be provided for the Lafayette shortfall. Sutter said they will approve the budget and work with SUN and utilize more levy aloney if needed. Hodgson refigured the allocations to make better use of funding. Serving less meals may be an option. Motion to approve the budget for each county by Lee and seconded by Cockeram. Aye: 6; ays: 0. Motion carried. Frofit and loss information individual meal sites: lowa County figures were provided, Lafayette numbers are still in the works. Gollon suggests a bigger spread between congregate and home delivered suggested ontribution. SUN could charge less for congregate and more for home delivered. He suggests site by site esting to see if it works vs implementing it program wide. He also questioned meal cost based on priority, ere Hodgson, the priority levels are set up by GWAAR. An ew scoring system is to be implemented by July. Here are high, moderate and low priority levels. Gollon asked if sites can be treated differently based on erformance or if they all need to be the same. Hodgson would need to check with GWAAR. Hodgson over the same are done consistently, these needs will be highlighted. Changes in meal cost go to AC committee first then onto the Board. Mumm proposes a pop-up or alternate site a day or two per teek in other communities on slow days for the regular sites. Figuratory Contract Update: Per Slaney, applying the new cost allocations retroactive to the beginning of the year should be cost effective. Per Hodgson, SUN would incur a \$2000 expense to possibly gain 16,000-18,000. Motion by Gollon and seconded by Lee to make cost allocations retroactive back to enuary. Aye: 6; Nay: 0. Motion carried. Firgeratory/freezer storage: The walk-in refrigerator is basically empty. The freeze
udget Update. Number 4 Nonprofits recalculate 2024 numbers to date: Per Hodgson, the budget was ablit separately for lowa and Lafayette Counties. Iowa County's new proposed budget comes close to alancing but Lafayette is about \$23,000 short. If the budgets are approved, a plan has to be provided for he Lafayette shortfall. Sutter said they will approve the budget and work with SUN and utilize more levy inchey if needed. Hodgson refigured the allocations to make better use of funding. Serving less meals may be an option. Motion to approve the budget for each county by Lee and seconded by Cockeram. Aye: 6; ay: 0. Motion carried. To fit and loss information individual meal sites: lowa County figures were provided, Lafayette numbers are still in the works. Gollon suggests a bigger spread between congregate and home delivered suggested ontribution. SUN could charge less for congregate and more for home delivered. He suggests site by site esting to see if it works vs implementing it program wide. He also questioned meal cost based on priority, error are high, moderate and low priority levels. Gollon asked if sites can be treated differently based on erformance or if they all need to be the same. Hodgson would need to check with GWAAR. Hodgson oses that if assessments are done consistently, these needs will be highlighted. Changes in meal cost go to AC committee first then onto the Board. Mumm proposes a pop-up or alternate site a day or two per reek in other communities on slow days for the regular sites. **Wax County Contract Update:** Per Slaney, applying the new cost allocations retroactive to the beginning if the year should be cost effective. Per Hodgson, SUN would incur a \$2000 expense to possibly gain 16,000-18,000. Motion by Gollon and seconded by Lee to make cost allocations retroactive back to mauary. Aye: 6; Nay: 0. Motion carried. **efrigerator/freezer storage:** The walk-in refrigerator is basically empty.** The freezer is full a couple days and month until the orders go out. Are these facilities need
udget Update. Number 4 Nonprofits recalculate 2024 numbers to date: Per Hodgson, the budget was abilt separately for lowa and Lafayette Counties. Iowa County's new proposed budget comes close to alancing but Lafayette is about \$23,000 short. If the budgets are approved, a plan has to be provided for the Lafayette shortfall. Sutter said they will approve the budget and work with SUN and utilize more levy be loney if needed. Hodgson refigured the allocations to make better use of funding. Serving less meals may be an option. Motion to approve the budget for each county by Lee and seconded by Cockeram. Aye: 6; ay: 0. Motion carried. For it and loss information individual meal sites: lowa County figures were provided, Lafayette numbers re still in the works. Gollon suggests a bigger spread between congregate and home delivered suggested contribution. SUN could charge less for congregate and more for home delivered. He suggests site by site esting to see if it works vs implementing it program wide. He also questioned meal cost based on priority, err Hodgson, the priority levels are set up by GWAAR. A new scoring system is to be implemented by July. here are high, moderate and low priority levels. Gollon asked if sites can be treated differently based on erformance or if they all need to be the same. Hodgson would need to check with GWAAR. Hodgson osses that if assessments are done consistently, these needs will be highlighted. Changes in meal cost go to AC committee first then onto the Board. Mumm proposes a pop-up or alternate site a day or two per reek in other communities on slow days for the regular sites. For a County Contract Update: Per Slaney, applying the new cost allocations retroactive to the beginning of the year should be cost effective. Per Hodgson, SUN would incur a \$2000 expense to possibly gain 16,000-18,000. Motion by Gollon and seconded by Lee to make cost allocations retroactive back to an analyse and packaging are used by all of the sites in Lafayette and one in lowa. There will be a tour of f
audget Update. Number 4 Nonprofits recalculate 2024 numbers to date: Per Hodgson, the budget was olit separately for Iowa and Lafayette Counties. Iowa County's new proposed budget comes close to alancing but Lafayette is about \$23,000 short. If the budgets are approved, a plan has to be provided for the Lafayette shortfall. Sutter said they will approve the budget and work with SUN and utilize more levy be to never the shortfall. Sutter said they will approve the budget and work with SUN and utilize more levy be to never the suggested. Hodgson refigured the allocations to make better use of funding. Serving less meals may be an option. Motion to approve the budget for each county by Lee and seconded by Cockeram. Aye: 6; ay: 0. Motion carried. **Tofit and loss information individual meal sites:* lowa County figures were provided, Lafayette numbers ree still in the works. Gollon suggests a bigger spread between congregate and home delivered suggested ontribution. SUN could charge less for congregate and more for home delivered. He suggests site by site esting to see if it works vs implementing it program wide. He also questioned meal cost based on priority. Beer Hodgson, the priority levels are set up by GWAAR. A new scoring system is to be implemented by July. There are high, moderate and low priority levels. Gollon asked if sites can be treated differently based on performance or if they all need to be the same. Hodgson would need to check with GWAAR. Hodgson coses that if assessments are done consistently, these needs will be highlighted. Changes in meal cost go to AC committee first then onto the Board. Mumm proposes a pop-up or alternate site a day or two per reek in other communities on slow days for the regular sites. **Waxa County Contract Update:** Per Slaney, applying the new cost allocations retroactive to the beginning of the year should be cost effective. Per Hodgson, SUN would incur a \$2000 expense to possibly gain 16,000-18,000. Motion by Gollon and seconded by Lee to make cost allocations retroa
adget Update. Number 4 Nonprofits recalculate 2024 numbers to date: Per Hodgson, the budget was olit separately for Iowa and Lafayette Counties. Iowa County's new proposed budget comes close to alancing but Lafayette is about \$23,000 short. If the budgets are approved, a plan has to be provided for the Lafayette shortfall. Sutter said they will approve the budget and work with SUN and utilize more levy noney if needed. Hodgson refigured the allocations to make better use of funding. Serving less meals may be an option. Motion to approve the budget for each county by Lee and seconded by Cockeram. Aye: 6; ay: 0. Motion carried. **Rofit and loss information individual meal sites: Iowa County figures were provided, Lafayette numbers re still in the works. Gollon suggests a bigger spread between congregate and home delivered suggested ontribution. SUN could charge less for congregate and more for home delivered. He suggests site by site esting to see if it works vs implementing it program wide. He also questioned meal cost based on priority, are Hodgson, the priority levels are set up by GWAAR. A new scoring system is to be implemented by July, here are high, moderate and low priority levels. Gollon asked if sites can be treated differently based on erformance or if they all need to be the same. Hodgson would need to check with GWAAR. Hodgson oses that if assessments are done consistently, these needs will be highlighted. Changes in meal cost go to AC committee first then onto the Board. Mumm proposes a pop-up or alternate site a day or two per teek in other communities on slow days for the regular sites. **Down County Contract Update:** Per Slaney, applying the new cost allocations retroactive to the beginning of the year should be cost effective. Per Hodgson, SUN would incur a \$2000 expense to possibly gain 16,000-18,000. Motion by Gollon and seconded by Lee to make cost allocations retroactive back to munary. Aye: 6; Nay: 0. Motion carried. **Errigerator/freezer storage:** The walk-in refrigerator is basically
adget Update. Number 4 Nonprofits recalculate 2024 numbers to date: Per Hodgson, the budget was olit separately for Iowa and Lafayette Counties. Iowa County's new proposed budget comes close to alancing but Lafayette is about \$23,000 short. If the budgets are approved, a plan has to be provided for he Lafayette shortfall. Sutter said they will approve the budget and work with SUN and utilize more levy noney if needed. Hodgson refigured the allocations to make better use of funding. Serving less meals may be an option. Motion to approve the budget for each county by Lee and seconded by Cockeram. Aye: 6; ay: 0. Motion carried. **rofit and loss information individual meal sites:* Iowa County figures were provided, Lafayette numbers re still in the works. Gollon suggests a bigger spread between congregate and home delivered suggested ontribution. SUN could charge less for congregate and more for home delivered. He suggests site by site esting to see if it works vs implementing it program wide. He also questioned meal cost based on priority. For Hodgson, the priority levels are set up by GWAAR. A new scoring system is to be implemented by July. There are high, moderate and low priority levels. Gollon asked if sites can be treated differently based on erformance or if they all need to be the same. Hodgson would need to check with GWAAR. Hodgson oses that if assessments are done consistently, these needs will be highlighted. Changes in meal cost go to the AC committee first then onto the Board. Mumm proposes a pop-up or alternate site a day or two per seek in other communities on slow days for the regular sites. **Dowa County Contract Update:** Per Slaney, applying the new cost allocations retroactive to the beginning of the year should be cost effective. Per Hodgson, SUN would incur a \$2000 expense to possibly gain 16,000-18,000. Motion by Gollon and seconded by Lee to make cost allocations retroactive back to sinuary. Aye: 6; Nay: 0. Motion carried. **Errigerator/freezer storage:** The walk-in refrigerator is bas
adget Update. Number 4 Nonprofits recalculate 2024 numbers to date: Per Hodgson, the budget was olit separately for Iowa and Lafayette Counties. Iowa County's new proposed budget comes close to alancing but Lafayette is about \$23,000 short. If the budgets are approved, a plan has to be provided for the Lafayette shortfall. Sutter said they will approve the budget and work with SUN and utilize more levy one oney if needed. Hodgson refigured the allocations to make better use of funding. Serving less meals may be an option. Motion to approve the budget for each county by Lee and seconded by Cockeram. Aye: 6; ay: 0. Motion carried. **rofit and loss information individual meal sites:** Iowa County figures were provided, Lafayette numbers restill in the works. Gollon suggests a bigger spread between congregate and home delivered suggested ontribution. SUN could charge less for congregate and more for home delivered. He suggests site by site esting to see if it works vs implementing it program wide. He also questioned meal cost based on priority, are Hodgson, the priority levels are set up by GWAAR. A new scoring system is to be implemented by July, here are high, moderate and low priority levels. Gollon asked if sites can be treated differently based on erformance or if they all need to be the same. Hodgson would need to check with GWAAR. Hodgson coses that if assessments are done consistently, these needs will be highlighted. Changes in meal cost go to the communities on slow days for the regular sites. **Deva County Contract Update:** Per Slaney, applying the new cost allocations retroactive to the beginning of the year should be cost effective. Per Hodgson, SUN would incur a \$2000 expense to possibly gain 16,000-18,000. Motion by Gollon and seconded by Lee to make cost allocations retroactive back to an uary. Aye: 6; Nay: 0. Motion carried. **efrigerator/freezer storage:** The walk-in refrigerator is basically empty. The freezer is full a couple days and month until the orders go out. Are these facilities need
udget Update. Number 4 Nonprofits recalculate 2024 numbers to date: Per Hodgson, the budget was olit separately for Iowa and Lafayette Counties. Iowa County's new proposed budget comes close to alancing but Lafayette is about \$23,000 short. If the budgets are approved, a plan has to be provided for ne Lafayette shortfall. Sutter said they will approve the budget and work with SUN and utilize more levy noney if needed. Hodgson refigured the allocations to make better use of funding. Serving less meals may e an option. Motion to approve the budget for each county by Lee and seconded by Cockeram. Aye: 6; ay: 0. Motion carried. rofit and loss information individual meal sites: lowa County figures were provided, Lafayette numbers re still in the works. Gollon suggests a bigger spread between congregate and home delivered suggested ontribution. SUN could charge less for congregate and more for home delivered. He suggests site by site esting to see if it works vs implementing it program wide. He also questioned meal cost based on priority. Ber Hodgson, the priority levels are set up by GWAAR. A new scoring system is to be implemented by July. Here are high, moderate and low priority levels. Gollon asked if sites can be treated differently based on erformance or if they all need to be the same. Hodgson would need to check with GWAAR. Hodgson coses that if assessments are done consistently, these needs will be highlighted. Changes in meal cost go to AC committee first then onto the Board. Mumm proposes a pop-up or alternate site a day or two per seek in other communities on slow days for the regular sites. Powa County Contract Update: Per Slaney, applying the new cost allocations retroactive to the beginning of the year should be cost effective. Per Hodgson, SUN would incur a \$2000 expense to possibly gain 16,000-18,000. Motion by Gollon and seconded by Lee to make cost allocations retroactive back to anuary. Aye: 6; Nay: 0. Motion carried. efrigerator/freezer storage: The walk-in refrigerator is basically empty. The
adget Update. Number 4 Nonprofits recalculate 2024 numbers to date: Per Hodgson, the budget was olit separately for Iowa and Lafayette Counties. Iowa County's new proposed budget comes close to alancing but Lafayette is about \$23,000 short. If the budgets are approved, a plan has to be provided for the Lafayette shortfall. Sutter said they will approve the budget and work with SUN and utilize more levy noney if needed. Hodgson refigured the allocations to make better use of funding. Serving less meals may ee an option. Motion to approve the budget for each county by Lee and seconded by Cockeram. Aye: 6; ay: 0. Motion carried. **rofit and loss information individual meal sites: Iowa County figures were provided, Lafayette numbers re still in the works. Gollon suggests a bigger spread between congregate and home delivered suggested contribution. SUN could charge less for congregate and more for home delivered. He suggests site by site esting to see if it works vs implementing it program wide. He also questioned meal cost based on priority. For Hodgson, the priority levels are set up by GWAAR. A new scoring system is to be implemented by July, here are high, moderate and low priority levels. Gollon asked if sites can be treated differently based on erformance or if they all need to be the same. Hodgson would need to check with GWAAR. Hodgson coses that if assessments are done consistently, these needs will be highlighted. Changes in meal cost go to AC committee first then onto the Board. Mumm proposes a pop-up or alternate site a day or two per seek in other communities on slow days for the regular sites. **pwa County Contract Update:** Per Slaney, applying the new cost allocations retroactive to the beginning of the year should be cost effective. Per Hodgson, SUN would incur a \$2000 expense to possibly gain 16,000-18,000. Motion by Gollon and seconded by Lee to make cost allocations retroactive back to anuary. Aye: 6; Nay: 0. Motion carried. **erfigerator/freezer storage:** The walk-in refrigerator is basicall
adget Update. Number 4 Nonprofits recalculate 2024 numbers to date: Per Hodgson, the budget was oblit separately for Iowa and Lafayette Counties. Iowa County's new proposed budget comes close to alancing but Lafayette is about \$23,000 short. If the budgets are approved, a plan has to be provided for the Lafayette shortfall. Sutter said they will approve the budget and work with SUN and utilize more levy noney if needed. Hodgson refigured the allocations to make better use of funding. Serving less meals may be an option. Motion to approve the budget for each county by Lee and seconded by Cockeram. Aye: 6; ay: 0. Motion carried. To Motion
udget Update. Number 4 Nonprofits recalculate 2024 numbers to date: Per Hodgson, the budget was oblit separately for Iowa and Lafayette Counties. Iowa County's new proposed budget comes close to alancing but Lafayette is about \$23,000 short. If the budgets are approved, a plan has to be provided for the Lafayette shortfall. Sutter said they will approve the budget and work with SUN and utilize more levy being in needed. Hodgson refigured the allocations to make better use of funding. Serving less meals may be an option. Motion to approve the budget for each county by Lee and seconded by Cockeram. Aye: 6; ay: 0. Motion carried. **rofit and loss information individual meal sites:* Iowa County figures were provided, Lafayette numbers re still in the works. Gollon suggests a bigger spread between congregate and home delivered suggested ontribution. SUN could charge less for congregate and more for home delivered. He suggests site by site esting to see if it works vs implementing it program wide. He also questioned meal cost based on priority. For Hodgson, the priority levels are set up by GWAAR. A new scoring system is to be implemented by July. Here are high, moderate and low priority levels. Gollon asked if sites can be treated differently based on enformance or if they all need to be the same. Hodgson would need to check with GWAAR. Hodgson coses that if assessments are done consistently, these needs will be highlighted. Changes in meal cost go to AC committee first then onto the Board. Mumm proposes a pop-up or alternate site a day or two per reek in other communities on slow days for the regular sites. **Dowa County Contract Update:** Per Slaney, applying the new cost allocations retroactive to the beginning of the year should be cost effective. Per Hodgson, SUN would incur a \$2000 expense to possibly gain 16,000-18,000. Motion by Gollon and seconded by Lee to make cost allocations retroactive back to enuary. Aye: 6; Nay: 0. Motion carried. **Formal Profits Advanced to the sum of the profits and profits ana
udget Update. Number 4 Nonprofits recalculate 2024 numbers to date: Per Hodgson, the budget was olit separately for Iowa and Lafayette Counties. Iowa County's new proposed budget comes close to alancing but Lafayette is about \$23,000 short. If the budgets are approved, a plan has to be provided for the Lafayette shortfall. Sutter said they will approve the budget and work with SUN and utilize more levy being in needed. Hodgson refigured the allocations to make better use of funding. Serving less meals may be an option. Motion to approve the budget for each county by Lee and seconded by Cockeram. Aye: 6; ay: 0. Motion carried. **Rofit and loss information individual meal sites:* Iowa County figures were provided, Lafayette numbers are still in the works. Gollon suggests a bigger spread between congregate and home delivered suggested ontribution. SUN could charge less for congregate and more for home delivered. He suggests site by site esting to see if it works vs implementing it program wide. He also questioned meal cost based on priority. For Hodgson, the priority levels are set up by GWAAR. A new scoring system is to be implemented by July. There are high, moderate and low priority levels. Gollon asked if sites can be treated differently based on performance or if they all need to be the same. Hodgson would need to check with GWAAR. Hodgson coses that if assessments are done consistently, these needs will be highlighted. Changes in meal cost go to accommittee first then onto the Board. Mumm proposes a pop-up or alternate site a day or two per neek in other communities on slow days for the regular sites. **Wax County Contract Update:** Per Slaney, applying the new cost allocations retroactive to the beginning of the year should be cost effective. Per Hodgson, SUN would incur a \$2000 expense to possibly gain 16,000-18,000. Motion by Gollon and seconded by Lee to make cost allocations retroactive back to an unary. Aye: 6; Nay: 0. Motion carried.
udget Update. Number 4 Nonprofits recalculate 2024 numbers to date: Per Hodgson, the budget was olit separately for Iowa and Lafayette Counties. Iowa County's new proposed budget comes close to alancing but Lafayette is about \$23,000 short. If the budgets are approved, a plan has to be provided for the Lafayette shortfall. Sutter said they will approve the budget and work with SUN and utilize more levy being in needed. Hodgson refigured the allocations to make better use of funding. Serving less meals may be an option. Motion to approve the budget for each county by Lee and seconded by Cockeram. Aye: 6; ay: 0. Motion carried. **rofit and loss information individual meal sites:* Iowa County figures were provided, Lafayette numbers are still in the works. Gollon suggests a bigger spread between congregate and home delivered suggested contribution. SUN could charge less for congregate and more for home delivered. He suggests site by site esting to see if it works vs implementing it program wide. He also questioned meal cost based on priority, here are high, moderate and low priority levels. Gollon asked if sites can be treated differently based on erformance or if they all need to be the same. Hodgson would need to check with GWAAR. Hodgson coses that if assessments are done consistently, these needs will be highlighted. Changes in meal cost go to AC committee first then onto the Board. Mumm proposes a pop-up or alternate site a day or two per reek in other communities on slow days for the regular sites. **Deva County Contract Update:** Per Slaney, applying the new cost allocations retroactive to the beginning of the year should be cost effective. Per Hodgson, SUN would incur a \$2000 expense to possibly gain 16,000-18,000. Motion by Gollon and seconded by Lee to make cost allocations retroactive back to
udget Update. Number 4 Nonprofits recalculate 2024 numbers to date: Per Hodgson, the budget was olit separately for Iowa and Lafayette Counties. Iowa County's new proposed budget comes close to alancing but Lafayette is about \$23,000 short. If the budgets are approved, a plan has to be provided for the Lafayette shortfall. Sutter said they will approve the budget and work with SUN and utilize more levy being in needed. Hodgson refigured the allocations to make better use of funding. Serving less meals may be an option. Motion to approve the budget for each county by Lee and seconded by Cockeram. Aye: 6; ay: 0. Motion carried. **rofit and loss information individual meal sites:* Iowa County figures were provided, Lafayette numbers are still in the works. Gollon suggests a bigger spread between congregate and home delivered suggested contribution. SUN could charge less for congregate and more for home delivered. He suggests site by site esting to see if it works vs implementing it program wide. He also questioned meal cost based on priority. For Hodgson, the priority levels are set up by GWAAR. A new scoring system is to be implemented by July. There are high, moderate and low priority levels. Gollon asked if sites can be treated differently based on the efformance or if they all need to be the same. Hodgson would need to check with GWAAR. Hodgson coses that if assessments are done consistently, these needs will be highlighted. Changes in meal cost go to AC committee first then onto the Board. Mumm proposes a pop-up or alternate site a day or two per reek in other communities on slow days for the regular sites. **Dowa County Contract Update:** Per Slaney, applying the new cost allocations retroactive to the beginning of the year should be cost effective. Per Hodgson, SUN would incur a \$2000 expense to possibly gain.
udget Update. Number 4 Nonprofits recalculate 2024 numbers to date: Per Hodgson, the budget was olit separately for Iowa and Lafayette Counties. Iowa County's new proposed budget comes close to alancing but Lafayette is about \$23,000 short. If the budgets are approved, a plan has to be provided for the Lafayette shortfall. Sutter said they will approve the budget and work with SUN and utilize more levy be noney if needed. Hodgson refigured the allocations to make better use of funding. Serving less meals may be an option. Motion to approve the budget for each county by Lee and seconded by Cockeram. Aye: 6; ay: 0. Motion carried. In a loss information individual meal sites: Iowa County figures were provided, Lafayette numbers are still in the works. Gollon suggests a bigger spread between congregate and home delivered suggested ontribution. SUN could charge less for congregate and more for home delivered. He suggests site by site esting to see if it works vs implementing it program wide. He also questioned meal cost based on priority. Ber Hodgson, the priority levels are set up by GWAAR. A new scoring system is to be implemented by July. There are high, moderate and low priority levels. Gollon asked if sites can be treated differently based on the ergormance or if they all need to be the same. Hodgson would need to check with GWAAR. Hodgson coses that if assessments are done consistently, these needs will be highlighted. Changes in meal cost go to AC committee first then onto the Board. Mumm proposes a pop-up or alternate site a day or two per neek in other communities on slow days for the regular sites. In a date of the beginning to the beginning the new cost allocations retroactive to the beginning the new cost allocations retroactive to the beginning to the segment of the beginning the new cost allocations retroactive to the beginning the new cost
udget Update. Number 4 Nonprofits recalculate 2024 numbers to date: Per Hodgson, the budget was olit separately for Iowa and Lafayette Counties. Iowa County's new proposed budget comes close to alancing but Lafayette is about \$23,000 short. If the budgets are approved, a plan has to be provided for the Lafayette shortfall. Sutter said they will approve the budget and work with SUN and utilize more levy be noney if needed. Hodgson refigured the allocations to make better use of funding. Serving less meals may be an option. Motion to approve the budget for each county by Lee and seconded by Cockeram. Aye: 6; ay: 0. Motion carried. In a loss information individual meal sites: Iowa County figures were provided, Lafayette numbers are still in the works. Gollon suggests a bigger spread between congregate and home delivered suggested outribution. SUN could charge less for congregate and more for home delivered. He suggests site by site esting to see if it works vs implementing it program wide. He also questioned meal cost based on priority. Ber Hodgson, the priority levels are set up by GWAAR. A new scoring system is to be implemented by July. There are high, moderate and low priority levels. Gollon asked if sites can be treated differently based on the error of they all need to be the same. Hodgson would need to check with GWAAR. Hodgson coses that if assessments are done consistently, these needs will be highlighted. Changes in meal cost go to AC committee first then onto the Board. Mumm proposes a pop-up or alternate site a day or two per neek in other communities on slow days for the regular sites.
udget Update. Number 4 Nonprofits recalculate 2024 numbers to date: Per Hodgson, the budget was olit separately for Iowa and Lafayette Counties. Iowa County's new proposed budget comes close to alancing but Lafayette is about \$23,000 short. If the budgets are approved, a plan has to be provided for the Lafayette shortfall. Sutter said they will approve the budget and work with SUN and utilize more levy money if needed. Hodgson refigured the allocations to make better use of funding. Serving less meals may be an option. Motion to approve the budget for each county by Lee and seconded by Cockeram. Aye: 6; ay: 0. Motion carried. **rofit and loss information individual meal sites:** Iowa County figures were provided, Lafayette numbers are still in the works. Gollon suggests a bigger spread between congregate and home delivered suggested contribution. SUN could charge less for congregate and more for home delivered. He suggests site by site esting to see if it works vs implementing it program wide. He also questioned meal cost based on priority, here are high, moderate and low priority levels. Gollon asked if sites can be treated differently based on the efformance or if they all need to be the same. Hodgson would need to check with GWAAR. Hodgson coses that if assessments are done consistently, these needs will be highlighted. Changes in meal cost go to AC committee first then onto the Board. Mumm proposes a pop-up or alternate site a day or two per
udget Update. Number 4 Nonprofits recalculate 2024 numbers to date: Per Hodgson, the budget was olit separately for Iowa and Lafayette Counties. Iowa County's new proposed budget comes close to alancing but Lafayette is about \$23,000 short. If the budgets are approved, a plan has to be provided for the Lafayette shortfall. Sutter said they will approve the budget and work with SUN and utilize more levy money if needed. Hodgson refigured the allocations to make better use of funding. Serving less meals may be an option. Motion to approve the budget for each county by Lee and seconded by Cockeram. Aye: 6; ay: 0. Motion carried. Profit and loss information individual meal sites: Iowa County figures were provided, Lafayette numbers are still in the works. Gollon suggests a bigger spread between congregate and home delivered suggested contribution. SUN could charge less for congregate and more for home delivered. He suggests site by site esting to see if it works vs implementing it program wide. He also questioned meal cost based on priority. Ber Hodgson, the priority levels are set up by GWAAR. A new scoring system is to be implemented by July, where are high, moderate and low priority levels. Gollon asked if sites can be treated differently based on performance or if they all need to be the same. Hodgson would need to check with GWAAR. Hodgson coses that if assessments are done consistently, these needs will be highlighted. Changes in meal cost go to
udget Update. Number 4 Nonprofits recalculate 2024 numbers to date: Per Hodgson, the budget was olit separately for Iowa and Lafayette Counties. Iowa County's new proposed budget comes close to alancing but Lafayette is about \$23,000 short. If the budgets are approved, a plan has to be provided for the Lafayette shortfall. Sutter said they will approve the budget and work with SUN and utilize more levy noney if needed. Hodgson refigured the allocations to make better use of funding. Serving less meals may e an option. Motion to approve the budget for each county by Lee and seconded by Cockeram. Aye: 6; ay: 0. Motion carried. **rofit and loss information individual meal sites:* Iowa County figures were provided, Lafayette numbers re still in the works. Gollon suggests a bigger spread between congregate and home delivered suggested contribution. SUN could charge less for congregate and more for home delivered. He suggests site by site esting to see if it works vs implementing it program wide. He also questioned meal cost based on priority. Per Hodgson, the priority levels are set up by GWAAR. A new scoring system is to be implemented by July. There are high, moderate and low priority levels. Gollon asked if sites can be treated differently based on performance or if they all need to be the same. Hodgson would need to check with GWAAR. Hodgson
udget Update. Number 4 Nonprofits recalculate 2024 numbers to date: Per Hodgson, the budget was olit separately for Iowa and Lafayette Counties. Iowa County's new proposed budget comes close to alancing but Lafayette is about \$23,000 short. If the budgets are approved, a plan has to be provided for the Lafayette shortfall. Sutter said they will approve the budget and work with SUN and utilize more levy noney if needed. Hodgson refigured the allocations to make better use of funding. Serving less meals may be an option. Motion to approve the budget for each county by Lee and seconded by Cockeram. Aye: 6; ay: 0. Motion carried. Tofit and loss information individual meal sites: Iowa County figures were provided, Lafayette numbers are still in the works. Gollon suggests a bigger spread between congregate and home delivered suggested contribution. SUN could charge less for congregate and more for home delivered. He suggests site by site esting to see if it works vs implementing it program wide. He also questioned meal cost based on priority. Ber Hodgson, the priority levels are set up by GWAAR. A new scoring system is to be implemented by July. There are high, moderate and low priority levels. Gollon asked if sites can be treated differently based on
udget Update. Number 4 Nonprofits recalculate 2024 numbers to date: Per Hodgson, the budget was olit separately for Iowa and Lafayette Counties. Iowa County's new proposed budget comes close to alancing but Lafayette is about \$23,000 short. If the budgets are approved, a plan has to be provided for the Lafayette shortfall. Sutter said they will approve the budget and work with SUN and utilize more levy anoney if needed. Hodgson refigured the allocations to make better use of funding. Serving less meals may be an option. Motion to approve the budget for each county by Lee and seconded by Cockeram. Aye: 6; ay: 0. Motion carried. Iowa County figures were provided, Lafayette numbers are still in the works. Gollon suggests a bigger spread between congregate and home delivered suggested contribution. SUN could charge less for congregate and more for home delivered. He suggests site by site esting to see if it works vs implementing it program wide. He also questioned meal cost based on priority.
udget Update. Number 4 Nonprofits recalculate 2024 numbers to date: Per Hodgson, the budget was olit separately for Iowa and Lafayette Counties. Iowa County's new proposed budget comes close to alancing but Lafayette is about \$23,000 short. If the budgets are approved, a plan has to be provided for the Lafayette shortfall. Sutter said they will approve the budget and work with SUN and utilize more levy aloney if needed. Hodgson refigured the allocations to make better use of funding. Serving less meals may be an option. Motion to approve the budget for each county by Lee and seconded by Cockeram. Aye: 6; ay: 0. Motion carried. In and loss information individual meal sites: Iowa County figures were provided, Lafayette numbers are still in the works. Gollon suggests a bigger spread between congregate and home delivered suggested contribution. SUN could charge less for congregate and more for home delivered. He suggests site by site
udget Update. Number 4 Nonprofits recalculate 2024 numbers to date: Per Hodgson, the budget was olit separately for Iowa and Lafayette Counties. Iowa County's new proposed budget comes close to alancing but Lafayette is about \$23,000 short. If the budgets are approved, a plan has to be provided for the Lafayette shortfall. Sutter said they will approve the budget and work with SUN and utilize more levy noney if needed. Hodgson refigured the allocations to make better use of funding. Serving less meals may be an option. Motion to approve the budget for each county by Lee and seconded by Cockeram. Aye: 6; ay: 0. Motion carried. I works information individual meal sites: Iowa County figures were provided, Lafayette numbers are still in the works. Gollon suggests a bigger spread between congregate and home delivered suggested
udget Update. Number 4 Nonprofits recalculate 2024 numbers to date: Per Hodgson, the budget was olit separately for Iowa and Lafayette Counties. Iowa County's new proposed budget comes close to alancing but Lafayette is about \$23,000 short. If the budgets are approved, a plan has to be provided for the Lafayette shortfall. Sutter said they will approve the budget and work with SUN and utilize more levy noney if needed. Hodgson refigured the allocations to make better use of funding. Serving less meals may be an option. Motion to approve the budget for each county by Lee and seconded by Cockeram. Aye: 6; ay: 0. Motion carried. Tofit and loss information individual meal sites: Iowa County figures were provided, Lafayette numbers
udget Update. Number 4 Nonprofits recalculate 2024 numbers to date: Per Hodgson, the budget was olit separately for Iowa and Lafayette Counties. Iowa County's new proposed budget comes close to alancing but Lafayette is about \$23,000 short. If the budgets are approved, a plan has to be provided for the Lafayette shortfall. Sutter said they will approve the budget and work with SUN and utilize more levy noney if needed. Hodgson refigured the allocations to make better use of funding. Serving less meals may be an option. Motion to approve the budget for each county by Lee and seconded by Cockeram. Aye: 6; ay: 0. Motion carried.
udget Update. Number 4 Nonprofits recalculate 2024 numbers to date: Per Hodgson, the budget was olit separately for Iowa and Lafayette Counties. Iowa County's new proposed budget comes close to alancing but Lafayette is about \$23,000 short. If the budgets are approved, a plan has to be provided for the Lafayette shortfall. Sutter said they will approve the budget and work with SUN and utilize more levy noney if needed. Hodgson refigured the allocations to make better use of funding. Serving less meals may e an option. Motion to approve the budget for each county by Lee and seconded by Cockeram. Aye: 6;
udget Update. Number 4 Nonprofits recalculate 2024 numbers to date: Per Hodgson, the budget was olit separately for Iowa and Lafayette Counties. Iowa County's new proposed budget comes close to alancing but Lafayette is about \$23,000 short. If the budgets are approved, a plan has to be provided for the Lafayette shortfall. Sutter said they will approve the budget and work with SUN and utilize more levy noney if needed. Hodgson refigured the allocations to make better use of funding. Serving less meals may
udget Update. Number 4 Nonprofits recalculate 2024 numbers to date: Per Hodgson, the budget was olit separately for Iowa and Lafayette Counties. Iowa County's new proposed budget comes close to alancing but Lafayette is about \$23,000 short. If the budgets are approved, a plan has to be provided for the Lafayette shortfall. Sutter said they will approve the budget and work with SUN and utilize more levy
udget Update. Number 4 Nonprofits recalculate 2024 numbers to date: Per Hodgson, the budget was plit separately for Iowa and Lafayette Counties. Iowa County's new proposed budget comes close to alancing but Lafayette is about \$23,000 short. If the budgets are approved, a plan has to be provided for
udget Update. Number 4 Nonprofits recalculate 2024 numbers to date: Per Hodgson, the budget was olit separately for Iowa and Lafayette Counties. Iowa County's new proposed budget comes close to
udget Update. Number 4 Nonprofits recalculate 2024 numbers to date: Per Hodgson, the budget was
·
no carryout policy was implemented which may account for the increase in congregate reporting.
congregate meals. Darlington services Argyle, South Wayne and Gratiot and it is a 100 mile route. A
cost is \$14-\$15 per meal and we are averaging around \$5. Lafayette has a higher percentage of
which helps the budget. Participant contributions are doing well in both counties. The actual meal
c. Monthly Participant Numbers- March 2024 – Reports provided. Congregate meals have trended up
downward. Some budget items are overspent already for the year.
b. Financial Reports, Profit & Loss, Bill Pay- March 2024. Per Hodgson, total assets are trending
National Bank Darlington.

	over \$1,000. Long term, the van is not an option. Hodgson poses that the current long route be split into multiple routes. Then there may not be a need for a van or a paid driver position, volunteers could be utilized. The Darlington site manager is wanting to go back to volunteer only status. When rehiring, a five day per week position would be preferable. Hodgson will still be able to help onboard the new director.
20	Board Chair's Report: Per Masters, SUN's article in the News and Views was a good one. He also thanked everyone for attending.
21	Next Meeting Date and Adjournment: Ludlum suggests having meetings at the various meal sites. Approved the next Seniors United for Nutrition Program Board meeting for June 25, 2024 at 5:00 p.m. at the HHS Building. Motion by Gollon and seconded by Cockeram to adjourn. Aye: 6; Nay: 0. Meeting adjourned at 6:46 p.m.
	Prepared by Melissa Weier. Edits by Andrew Hodgson.