



## POLICE ADVISORY BOARD MEETING

The Police Advisory Board (PAB) Meeting was held on August 21, 2025 at 7:00 p.m. at the Dover Police Department in the James L. Hutchison Public Assembly Room with Chairperson Dr. Chanda Jackson presiding. Members of the PAB in attendance were Rev. Dr. Carol Boggerty, Mrs. Courtney Ford, Dr. Charlisa Holloway-Edelin, Mr. Robby Sheehan & Mr. Waverly Debraux. Mr. Arqum Rashid was absent.

Ex-Officio Members in attendance Chief Thomas A. Johnson, & Sgt. Ian Thompson (Dover Police Department) & Chief Donald Baynard (Delaware State University).

### **PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD**

Mr. Chris Asay (League of Women Voters of Delaware / Dover Resident) informed the group of recent community developments related to policing over the past six weeks, noting the lack of a current mechanism for board members to stay informed about such issues unless raised during meetings. Key updates included:

- **NO CAP Announcement:** Neighbors Organized for Credibility and Accountability in Policing (NO CAP), a statewide advocacy group with Dover residents, launched an independent program to receive concerns and complaints about police encounters, outside of the formal complaint process.
- **Public Commentary:** Dover City Council President Fred Neal published an op-ed titled “*Dover Police Under Siege*” in the *Delaware State News*.
- **Public Forum:** Tide Shift Justice Project hosted a community event, “*Let’s Talk About Policing,*” at the Dover Public Library. Approximately 30 people attended, including one City Council member.
- **Police Response:** On the day of the public forum, Dover Police Chief Johnson issued a Facebook statement criticizing “a small but vocal group” for making dramatic claims of police misconduct at City Council meetings.
- **Union Response:** The following day, the Dover Police Union released a letter announcing a vote of no confidence in Chief Johnson, citing multiple complaints and opposition to his hiring from outside the department five years ago.
- **Leadership Support:** The Dover Mayor and City Council President subsequently issued statements in support of Chief Johnson.

Mr. Asay emphasized the importance of establishing a mechanism to keep the board informed about community-police relations.

Responding to Mr. Asay, Mayor Robin Christiansen commented that the statement he made supported the Chief of Police and the Police Officers to assure the community that public safety would be maintained.

Mr. Asay stated that he was presenting his statements to inform the PAB that discussions and events relating to policing in Dover have been happening and he thinks that it is important that the Board is aware.

Responding to Chairwoman Jackson’s invitation to Mayor Christiansen to comment, he expressed appreciation for the board's formation and ongoing work, acknowledging its establishment prior to being mandated by legislation. He thanked board members for their time and commitment, as well as the civilian attendees for their engagement. Mayor Christiansen emphasized the board’s role in guiding the Dover Police Department toward the goals of 21st Century Community Policing, describing the board as a long-awaited step toward meaningful progress.

## **ADOPTION OF AGENDA**

Mr. Robby Sheehan moved to accept the Agenda, seconded by Mr. Waverly Debraux and unanimously carried.

## **ADOPTION OF MINUTES FROM JUNE 26, 2025 MEETING**

Mr. Waverly Debraux moved to accept the Minutes, seconded by Mrs. Courtney Ford and unanimously carried.

## **REVISED BY-LAWS FINAL READING (FIRST READING/DISCUSSION JUNE 26, 2025)**

Mrs. Courtney Ford moved to accept the revised by-laws as presented, seconded by Mr. Robby Sheehan and unanimously carried (this will constitute the first reading).

## **COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT/RESEARCH – FINAL APPROVAL ON ADVERTISEMENTS & SURVEY, TO PROMOTE AND EDUCATE THE PUBLIC ON THE POLICE ADVISORY BOARD**

Mrs. Ford stated that the QR codes are finalized and live. As previously discussed, both codes will be combined on a single printed poster to save costs but may be shared separately on social media and digital platforms. The associated survey is linked to the QR code. The group is now seeking any final comments or suggestions. Pending approval, the next steps include publishing the materials on social media and the website, and determining printing logistics and distribution locations. Mr. Sheehan asked if there was a way to get the QR code out to the advocacy groups that Mr. Asay had mentioned and also stated the presence of those groups would be welcome at the PAB Meetings. Mr. Sheehan moved for final approval of the advertisement/survey and to push it out to the community, seconded by Mr. Debraux and unanimously carried.

## **HIGH RISK PATROL PROCEDURES – CHIEF THOMAS A. JOHNSON, JR.**

Chief Johnson emphasized the importance of understanding police practices in the age of social media and constant video documentation. Key points included:

- **Context Matters:** Video clips often lack full context. Public interpretation may be incomplete without understanding the events leading up to or following a recorded incident.
- **The “Why” Behind Police Actions:** Officers operate based on available information, training, and policy. Understanding the rationale behind their actions can lead to more productive community dialogue, even if those actions are unsettling to witness.
- **Use of Authority:** Officer response levels vary based on circumstances. Some situations require a more authoritative approach from the outset; others may escalate or de-escalate depending on new information.
- **Priority of Life and Special Populations:** Officers are trained to prioritize life and are provided with specialized training to recognize and respond appropriately to individuals with special needs or vulnerabilities (e.g., mental health conditions, developmental disabilities, juveniles, elderly).
- **Limitations of Arrest:** Not every encounter results in an arrest. Legal standards—such as requiring a willing victim in many cases—guide these decisions, even when public expectations differ.
- **Impact of Incomplete Video Evidence:** Selective or partial sharing of video can lead to misunderstandings. Officers rely on procedures and training, not impulse or entertainment, and physical confrontations carry risks to all involved.
- **Procedural Obligations:** In situations such as domestic violence, officers are legally required to act even if involved parties wish otherwise, which can lead to further misunderstandings or escalation.

Chief Johnson concluded by urging a broader understanding of law enforcement procedures and the complexity involved in real-time decision-making by officers.

Dr. Boggerty highlighted a key challenge: the broader community often lacks access to the internal knowledge the board has received through training and briefings. They noted that while the Citizens Police Academy offers valuable insight, its time commitment limits community participation and emphasized the importance of finding

alternative, accessible ways to educate the public on police processes, particularly around sensitive topics like the release of video evidence and Department of Justice protocols.

Dr. Boggerty shared a personal example of how understanding such procedures helped de-escalate a situation in the community, underscoring the value of informed, trusted voices and suggested exploring ways to empower Citizens Police Academy participants to share their knowledge within their networks and encouraged ongoing efforts to educate the public through every available opportunity.

Mr. Sheehan acknowledged the shared perspective, noting that scrutiny of police administration and officer behavior can reach a tipping point and recognized this dynamic may be contributing to recent narratives in local media coverage.

Responding, Chief Johnson shared concerns about the impact of constant scrutiny on police officers, noting a pattern of frequent complaints—often lacking direct witnesses or substantiated evidence. While emphasizing the importance of accountability and addressing all legitimate concerns, he highlighted several key points:

- **Vetting & Professional Standards:** Officers are thoroughly vetted and held to high professional standards before joining the department. Only those demonstrating maturity, professionalism, and sound judgment are selected.
- **Internal Reviews:** The department often identifies and addresses minor policy violations during reviews—even if those issues are not raised in public complaints—demonstrating proactive oversight.
- **Stress & Morale:** The continuous cycle of criticism, particularly when it lacks context or fairness, has a compounding effect on officer morale and mental health.
- **Discipline Process:** Disciplinary actions, including training or suspension, are taken when appropriate but remain confidential due to employment law and the need to support an officer's opportunity for recovery and growth.
- **Call for Balanced Oversight:** The speaker advocated for continued transparency and community input but urged that evaluations be professional, fair, and maintain the presumption of good intent until facts are established.

Mr. Sheehan emphasized that community advocacy and concerns should be addressed collaboratively through the board, as originally intended. They noted the board's role in fostering education, dialogue, and bridging gaps between the community and law enforcement. The member stressed the importance of building trust and called for a clear plan to support reconciliation and facilitate community understanding, aligning with the board's purpose and volunteer commitment.

Chief of Delaware State University Police, Donald Baynard shared that policing is a challenging role that requires balancing community expectations with internal department responsibilities. Drawing from experience in both law enforcement and higher education, they emphasized the importance of educating students about police procedures, legal requirements, and areas of discretion. He highlighted the value of body-worn cameras in ensuring accountability and noted that many complaints are resolved through video review, often confirming that officers acted within policy. Education remains key, especially for younger individuals who may not fully understand policing protocols.

Mr. Debraux acknowledged the challenges law enforcement faces in building and maintaining public trust. They noted that, while local departments like Dover PD may be effective and professional, national incidents and media portrayals heavily influence community perceptions. Mr. Debraux reflected on his own initial hesitation in joining the board due to distrust in policing, which changed positively through personal interactions with Chief Johnson and department staff. He emphasized the importance of context in evaluating police actions, particularly

regarding video clips that may not show full incidents. Despite efforts, they expressed concern that overcoming broken trust in policing remains a difficult and ongoing challenge.

Dr. Jackson shared her personal journey from distrust of police to building trust through community engagement efforts led by Chief Johnson. She emphasized the importance of individual relationship-building over generalizations of law enforcement as a whole. Dr. Jackson supports continued partnerships with community organizations and highlighted the need for education and awareness and noted that Dover Police's proactive community involvement, particularly with youth and families, has been effective in fostering trust. She encouraged using the board as a platform to support and expand these efforts, recognizing the work as challenging but achievable through sustained, one-on-one connections.

Dr. Boggerty emphasized the importance of remembering the origins of the Police Advisory Board. The Board was established during the early weeks of Chief Johnson's tenure, not as a result of external suggestions or legislation, but as a direct response to a recognized need for community engagement. Chief Johnson identified early on that rebuilding trust and fostering relationships between the police and the community required advisory input from within the community itself.

It was noted that Chief Johnson sought to "lead from the midst" of the community—getting to know both his officers and the residents they serve. This foundational vision was not about political mandate but about proactive, community-driven engagement.

Dr. Boggerty reiterated that recalling these roots can help guide the Council's actions during challenging times and reinforce its purpose when facing public pressure or criticism. Remembering why and how the Council was formed will strengthen its ability to serve effectively and authentically.

#### **DISCUSSION ON PLACEMENT OF BOARD MEMBER BIO'S & PICTURES ON DOVER POLICE DEPARTMENT'S WEBSITE.**

The board revisited a prior suggestion to include members' bios and photos on their dedicated page on the Dover Police Department's website. Members expressed support, noting that:

- Including bios and photos promotes transparency and strengthens community relationships.
- It allows the public to put names to faces and fosters a sense of openness and approachability.
- It serves as an additional resource for those who may not attend meetings but wish to learn more about the board.

Mrs. Ford moved to add bios and photos to the website, seconded by Mr. Debraux

#### **ANNOUNCEMENTS**

Chief Johnson final remarks for the record, clarifying a point for future context. He acknowledged Bishop Boggerty's earlier comments but noted that upon his arrival in 2020, he found a highly functioning police department with strong community engagement and a dedicated community policing unit. His goal was not to create from scratch, but to enhance and build upon existing strengths. He emphasized that his approach aligned with the *Good to Great* philosophy—improving what was already working well.

Additionally, Chief Johnson shared an update regarding the upcoming **100th Anniversary Gala** for the Dover Police Department:

- **Date:** September 12

- **Venue:** Bally's
- **Cocktail Hour:** 5:00 PM
- **Dinner & Program:** 6:00 PM, followed by live music and dancing until 10:00 PM

The Chief noted that while the event is open to those wishing to celebrate with the department, tickets must be purchased due to limited resources:

- **Individual ticket:** \$100
- **Couple (plus one):** \$150

Due to budget constraints, complimentary tickets were limited to the Chair and City Council President only. Interested attendees were encouraged to email Chief Johnson directly for ticket arrangements.

Chief Baynard reported that student move-in is currently underway and in full swing. This is day two of a four-day move-in process, with two days remaining. Classes are set to begin next Monday, marking the full return to campus activities.

#### **ADJOURNMENT**

The meeting adjourned at 8:05 p.m.

Attachment #1 – Revised By-Laws (final reading)

**BY-LAWS OF THE POLICE ADVISORY BOARD  
CITY OF DOVER, DELAWARE**

**ARTICLE I – OFFICES AND MEETINGS**

Section 1. The registered office of the **Police Advisory Board** (hereafter referred to as the “Board”), for the City of Dover, in the State of Delaware, shall be at the City of Dover Police Department, 400 South Queen Street, Dover, DE 19904. This shall be the primary meeting location of the Board. In most instances, the James L. Hutchinson Public Assembly Room.

Section 2. The Board may also have offices, or meetings, at such other places as the members may, from time to time direct, or the business of the Board may require. In all cases, meetings will be properly advertised, conducted and documented in compliance with the adopted standards of the City of Dover and as directed by any applicable law of the State of Delaware.

Section 3. The Board will conduct a regular business meeting on the third Thursday of each odd-numbered month. A workshop meeting will occur on the third Thursday of each even-numbered month. Workshop meetings are held to discuss issues facing the community and the respective police agencies. Board-related business can be discussed and recommendations can be crafted for consideration at the next full business meeting.

Business meetings provide a second opportunity to consider any recommendations coming from the previous workshop meeting and to take formal action on matters concerning the Board. Complaints can be addressed and topical presentations can be made at either meeting.

## ARTICLE II – GOVERNANCE

- Section 1. The activities of the Board will be governed:
- a. first by the applicable laws of the State of Delaware;
  - b. second, by the applicable regulations of the Police Officer Standards and Training (POST) Commission;
  - c. third, by the Charter and applicable Ordinances of the City of Dover;
  - d. fourth, by the appropriately adopted By-Laws of the Board;
  - e. and lastly, by *Roberts Rules* of parliamentary procedure in the event no other guidance is available for governance.

- Section 2. The Board is available to any law enforcement agency, other than agencies functioning in the direct interest of the government of the State of Delaware, so long as the following factors are true:
- a. The agency is authorized to function in the State of Delaware, and;
  - b. The agency is required to adhere to the regulations of the POST, and;
  - c. The agency has their main headquarters established within the corporate limits of the City of Dover.

Newly created agencies must request the services of the Board, in writing, before any official consideration can occur.

## ARTICLE III – MEMBERS

- Section 1. The business of this Board shall be managed by its members, the number of which shall be determined by the Board and approved by the Mayor. The number shall not be less than nine (9), nor more than thirteen (13), at any given time. The Mayor and a two-thirds majority of the Board must concur on any new member.

The Board has the authority to designate any of the seats on the Board to a specific stakeholder interest [Example: A staff or student representative from Delaware State University] until such time the Board deems it is no longer indicated.

Section 2. Members need not be residents of the City of Dover, but must be residents of the State of Delaware. Members that do not reside in the City must have a full time interest in the success of the City. They shall be approved by the Mayor upon the completion of a standard vetting process. Individuals holding an elected office in Municipal, County, State or Federal government **are not** eligible to be members. Individuals holding an elected office on a public Board or Commission **are eligible to** ~~can~~ serve as a member.

Section 3. Each member shall serve for a term of three (3) years or until their earlier resignation or removal. The Board will accept applications for service on the Board at all times. Members shall be replaced as soon as practical from the file of pending applications. Emphasis will be placed on applicants that represent the voice of stakeholder groups not currently on the Board.

The term of the members shall be staggered so that a number closest to one-third of the total number of members shall be up for reconsideration each year. Should no vacancies occur during the mid-term windows, the Members shall consider whether any changes are in the best interest of the Board. The criteria for consideration will include the strength of the candidate(s) reaching expiration, their attendance record, their continued willingness to serve, and the strength(s) of the pending applicants. Typically, this assessment process will occur each year during the May meeting.

Section 4. Ex-Officio members: The Chief of the City of Dover Police Department is a permanent consulting member of the Board and will designate an alternate,

Executive Staff Officer, to be available as a proxy, on command-level concerns, in the event of an unavoidable absence.

The Dover PD Chief will assign a frontline Supervisor, and designated alternate, as a second consulting member of the Board to represent the perspective of operational Police Officers. One sworn member, either the Chief, or a designee, of the Delaware State University Police Department will also have a consulting voice on the Board as long as the agency is in existence.

There is no mandatory attendance requirement for consulting members, but the Dover PD Chief shall make every effort to ensure that an Executive-level Officer is in attendance at each meeting.

Section 5. Removal: Any member can be removed from the Board, with cause, by a two-thirds majority recommendation of the members and the concurrence of the Mayor. The Mayor also has the discretion to call for the removal a member without cause should there be a real, or perceived, conflict of interest or significant verifiable community concern. The same two-thirds majority of the Board will need to concur with the Mayor's recommendation for the removal of a member.

A position will be declared vacant, and the member removed by default, should any member have three consecutive unexcused absences. The same two-thirds majority will be required to establish that the three consecutive absences lacked reasonable explanation. The Board will list the vacancy issue on the agenda following the third absence for its consideration and possible action.

#### **ARTICLE IV – OFFICERS**

Section 1. The members of the Board shall chose a Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson. A simple verbal consensus shall be sufficient unless a member wishes that a paper

ballot be created and utilized. The Dover PD Chief, or their designee, will facilitate the election process and tabulate the results. The longest and shortest serving members will witness the tabulation so long as they are not named on the ballot. In such circumstance, the next appropriate, eligible, senior or junior member will serve as a witness. In the unlikely event of a tie, the Mayor will break the tie. Both positions will be chosen during the September meeting each year.

Section 2. Salaries: No member shall receive any salary for their services. However, they may be reimbursed by the City for authorized expenses.

Section 3. Additional Officers: The Board may create additional titles when necessary for members to serve in specified roles only until the completion of the specified task or project. The Dover PD Chief will assign staff support to ensure that the Board is in compliance with all laws, regulations and rules.

Section 4. Chairperson: The Chairperson (Chair) shall preside at all meetings. The Chair shall have general management responsibilities and serve as the point of contact for the Board. The Chair will see that all decisions of the Board are carried into effect, subject, however, to the right of the Chair to delegate any specific powers to the Vice Chairperson or other member in good standing. The Chair shall be an Ex-Officio member of all sub-committees, and shall have the general power and duties of supervision and management usually vested in the Chairperson of a private entity.

Section 5. Vice-Chairperson: The Vice-Chairperson shall assist the Chair as requested and shall perform the functions of Chair when the Chairperson is absent or on leave.

## ARTICLE V – FUNCTIONS

Section 1. Policy: The Board will engage concerns related to Police Department policy and procedure when necessary. Discussions and recommendations may arise from items brought to the Board by any stakeholder or from the Office of the Chief of Police of any participating agency. The Board serves in an advisory capacity.

Section 2. Training: The Board will engage concerns related to the Police Department training programs when necessary. Discussions and recommendations may arise from items brought to the Board by any stakeholder or from the Office of the Chief of Police of any participating agency. The Board serves in an advisory capacity.

Section 3. Administration: The Board will engage certain concerns related to the administrative decisions of the participating Police Departments when appropriate. Allegations of criminal conduct will be referred to the Delaware Department of Justice – Office of Civil Rights and Public Trust for investigation. Items related to disciplinary decisions will be referred to the Office of the Mayor in the event of a case involving a DPD Officer.

Cases related to a DSUPD Officer will be referred to the DSU Vice President in charge of Public Safety for the University. The next higher link of the chain of command will be the referral point for any other agency. Discussions and recommendations may arise from items brought to the Board by a stakeholder or from the Office of the Chief of Police of any participating agency. The Board serves in an advisory capacity.

Section 4. Research: The Board may, at its own discretion, participate in research activity in furtherance of its recommendations to the Police Chiefs so that

evidence-based decisions can be made on strategic issues. Research can be either a scan for existing literature or a proactive survey for information.

Section 5. Resource: The Board may, at its own discretion, individually, or as a group, but always with the consent of the majority, or the Chair, serve as a community resource for independent information related to the activities of the participating police agencies. This role would be limited to areas where the member or members have direct knowledge of the topic at hand.

Section 6. Sub-Committees: The Board has the authority to establish and maintain the number of sub-committees as might be deemed necessary to function more effectively in service to the City. Sub-committees can support both the research and resource functions as well as serving as a pathway of communication to specified, and often underrepresented, stakeholders within the City.

## **ARTICLE VI – POST COMMISSION MATTERS**

Section 1. Complaints: Administrative complaints will be accepted and listed on the next available agenda so long as they meet the established criteria for consideration. A complaint form will be developed, and revised as necessary over time, to accurately and effectively document any complaints. [A member of the community is not obligated to use the official form and has the option to use alternate means.](#) Board members will receive a copy of the **form** [complaint](#) for review prior to the hearing.

Named complainants will be directed to the complaint process established by the applicable police agency before any action is taken. This is in the interest of time as the agency might be able to resolve the complaint, or at the very least, gather enough information about the concern so that the Board can make a proper **determination** [assessment](#) when the agenda item is being considered. If a named party refuses to engage the police agency [complaint](#) process, the complaint can

still be considered. If the issue can be immediately addressed by an appropriate command staff officer, the Chair can allow dialogue in an attempt to resolve the complaint. If it becomes clear that research or ~~but will most likely require~~ investigation by the respective agency is necessary, dialogue will be suspended and the complaint will be ~~a second listing~~ listed on a subsequent agenda.

Anonymous and third-party complaints can be reviewed and archived by the Board, but there is no obligation to take any specific action. If the agency Chief is not already aware of an anonymous complaint, a copy will be forwarded to their office for administrative review.

Section 2. Hearing of Complaints: A standard format will be adopted that can be updated or improved, over time, with the approval of the Board. ~~Until the POST Commission provides specific direction on Complaints coming from the community, the issue will be addressed as an agenda item during a workshop meeting. While not a court of law, there shall be structure that represents generally accepted due process principles and the rights of all parties to be heard. While not typically a setting where an attorney would represent a complainant, if a lawyer did appear as the voice of the complainant, the request of the police agency for a continuance of the hearing shall be granted so that the agency attorney can be present for the next listing of the complaint.~~

~~The Chair, or member designee, will preside over the hearing and follow the established format. The Chair has the option to pause a hearing at any time in order to obtain advice on process from an appropriate authority. In every circumstance, the complainant and the agency will receive equal time to outline their positions and respond to new assertions or information. The adopted format will guide the Board through discussion, deliberation and disposition.~~

~~Presuming that there is no reason to table the matter, the complainant will receive a verbal decision at the end of the hearing process. A written summary will be~~

~~drafted~~ Once the complaint has been vetted, if indicated, a recommendation can be offered by way of a standard floor motion. Should a recommendation be offered, it will be listed on the agenda ~~and approved~~ at the next Board business meeting. Should the complainant appeal to the full POST Commission, the ~~record~~ minutes of the ~~Dover hearing~~ applicable PAB meetings will be forwarded upon request.

## **ARTICLE VII – AMENDMENTS**

Section 1. These By-Laws may be amended, or repealed, in whole or in part, by a two-thirds vote of the members. Any alteration shall be considered and passed in two consecutive business meetings before it is considered valid. One of the two consecutive meetings may be a special session, or a workshop meeting, but at least one meeting will be a regularly scheduled business meeting ~~one~~.

Section 2. Should any section of the By-Laws be recognized as unlawful, that section alone will become invalid upon the recognition of the deficiency and an update to the By-Laws will be prepared as soon as practical. A simple majority vote of members present will be enough to adopt a temporary resolution at the time the defect is recognized. Once a temporary resolution is adopted, the Chair will ensure that a draft of a permanent solution is prepared for consideration at the next regularly scheduled meeting. The process outlined in Section 1 of this Article will then be followed.

## **ARTICLE VIII – MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS**

Section 1. Definitions: The following definitions will be assigned to terms found in the preceding Articles. If a term is not defined in this Section, the legally acceptable default definition will prevail.

“Termination for Cause”: As described in Section II, Article 5, *Termination for Cause* includes, but is not limited to, gross negligence, fraud, criminal behavior, or behavior of ill-repute that shines a negative light on Dover and/or the Dover Police Advisory Board.

“Full Time Interest”: As described in Article III, Section 2, *Full Time Interest* includes, but is not limited to, full time employment, student, business interest or fiduciary duty that involves the City of Dover.

“Standard Vetting Process”: As described in Article III, Section 2, *Standard Vetting Process* includes a **background investigation** to determine the validity of a member’s “Full Time Interest”, potentially disqualifying criminal history, potential evidence of pre-existing behavior of ill-repute, or any other assessment that the Member’s deem necessary. Note: Not all criminal behavior is disqualifying, but certain behaviors are not compatible with service on the Board. As there exists the potential of juvenile-aged Members, their protection will be a paramount concern of the Board.

“Ex-Officio members”: As described in Article III, Section 4, *Ex-Officio member(s)* means **non-voting** members.

“POST” is the standard core meaning abbreviation for the Delaware **Police Officers Standards and Training** Commission

Section 2. Leave: As described in Article IV, Section 5, refers to an approved leave of absence. Members of the Board, at their collective discretion, may grant a leave of absence to an individual member. The member will request any leave of absence in writing. Such leave will have justification that is consistent with professional workplace practices. Acceptable reasons will include, but will not be limited to, health challenges, military deployment, caretaking of an ill immediate family member, temporary work assignment, and/or any temporary situation that could be perceived as a conflict of interest.

The request should provide the reason and an estimated duration of the leave but personally protected or confidential information is not required. The Mayor must also approve a leave of absence that would exceed 6 months, which is the equivalent of three consecutive meetings.

First Reading – June 26, 2025

Final Reading/Adoption – August 21, 2025